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Abstract:

Introduction:
Financial concerns deter many people from seeking orthodontic care. Fake braces replicate the real orthodontic braces but are not functional and are priced at a lower cost. These products are available through illegal and unregistered merchants, who use social media for advertising their products. This study aimed to analyze Arabic Twitter posts related to fake braces.

Methods:
Tweets were collected from Twitter API v2 using Python. The search was limited to the Arabic language, using keywords such as “fake braces” and “fashion braces.” Tweets were collected in the period from January 1, 2020, until July 31, 2021. Both sentiment and thematic analyses were performed.

Results:
788 tweets met the inclusion criteria, 660 of which were advertisements. The rest of the tweets (n = 128) were categorized into four main themes: rejecting the idea of fake braces (49.2%), having the intention to buy fake braces (32.0%), using/used fake braces (14.1%), and accepting the idea of fake braces (4.7%). Sentiment analysis showed that more than half of the tweets (55.5%) were negative and mainly involved sarcastic language (65.8%).

Conclusion:
Although many of the tweets were against the use of fake braces, a sizable number of advertisement tweets promoted fake braces. Efforts should be made to educate the public about the risks of using fake braces and to emphasize the importance of seeking a licensed orthodontist for treatment. In addition, health authorities must establish laws and policies and enhance surveillance to control social media content advertising these harmful products.

Keywords: Social media, Oral health, Orthodontic appliances, Sentiment analysis, Twitter, Fake braces, Dentistry, Orthodontics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Orthodontic treatment is generally sought to improve a patient’s appearance [1]. However, this treatment should not be performed solely based on a patient’s perception of their need for orthodontic treatment. Orthodontists must complete a comprehensive clinical examination to confirm this perception and provide an all-inclusive understanding of the malocclusion situation [1, 2]. Many people are deterred from seeking care for either functional or appearance reasons because of cost concerns [3]. The high cost of orthodontic treatment at private clinics, the long waitlist at government dental clinics, the unavailability of orthodontic treatment, and the lack of public knowledge about the risks associated with orthodontic treatment have contributed to the impression that wearing braces makes one look stylish and educated [3, 4]. A large amount of media advertising influences consumer decisions, and general dentists are increasingly acting as trusted advisers, often offering treatment rather than referrals to orthodontists [3].

Fake braces, also known as fashion braces, replicate real orthodontic braces but are not functional [4]. The first media coverage of fake braces came from Thailand in 2006 [5]. Although fake braces serve no therapeutic purpose, black market braces are marketed toward teenagers who seek the luxury status that is attributed to the wearing of this type of
braces [4]. In addition to traditional metal braces, colorful rubber bands can be customized with ornamentation, such as diamonds or cartoon characters [4].

A previous study examined how fake braces impact oral health-related quality of life. Hakami et al. revealed that fake and therapeutic braces negatively affected physical disability and functional limitation; however, the negative effect for therapeutic braces was significantly greater [6]. A cross-sectional study found that the use of fake braces is affected by factors such as perceived susceptibility, family socioeconomic status, and risk severity [7]. 36.4% of dental offices in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, offer decorative braces [8]. Additionally, 74% of fake braces are installed by patients or their friends and family using over the counter glue [8].

Social media has become a valuable tool for providers of fake braces and patients seeking to acquire fake braces [9]. Twitter and Instagram are popular platforms for patients to discuss their orthodontic treatment, attitudes, and emotions [9]. In addition, as social media has become a popular platform for marketing; the younger generation spends many hours on social media sites, and it is easy for illegal fake braces providers to draw on this vulnerability and supply the market [10].

This study aimed to analyze Arabic Twitter posts related to the perception and use of fake braces.

2. METHODS

The protocol of this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at at King Abdulaziz University Faculty of Dentistry (#269-08-21).

Tweets were collected from Twitter API V2 using Python. A Jupyter notebook was used (Jupyter notebook is a browser-based interactive computing notebook which works with codes in different programming languages), which is built into the Anaconda tool (version v22, Anaconda Inc., Austin, TX, USA). We used an academic research product track developer account; this track allowed us to have a full archive search, including searching the full history of public tweets using filters and operators, with up to 10 million tweets collected per month [11].

The search was limited to Arabic language tweets, using keywords such as “fake braces” and “fashion braces” in Arabic. Tweets were collected in the period from January 1, 2020, until July 31, 2021. The collected tweets were stored in CSV format and then exported into Microsoft Excel (version 14.0.7, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The exported data included tweet text, author username, number of retweets, number of favorites, geolocation, and date and time of posting.

Tweets were then selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: tweets related to fake braces; exclusion criteria: unclear content, not in Arabic, and duplicates.

The authors did screening, coding, and analysis manually and independently. Each tweet was categorized into positive, negative, or neutral and then into themes and subthemes according to its content, following the steps and guidelines of Braun and Clarke [12]. The tweets were then grouped and refined to finalize the themes and subthemes. Disagreements between authors were resolved by discussion and consensus.

All tweets were entered into IBM SPSS Statistics V25.0 for counting and distribution analysis.

3. RESULTS

A total of 1100 tweets were collected, and 788 met the inclusion criteria (Fig. 1). Most of the resulting tweets were advertisements (n=660), mainly from online stores. Still, there were also some advertisements from dental clinics and medical/dental supply stores (Table 1).

![Fig. (1). Flowchart of the searched, included, and excluded tweets.](image)

### Table 1. Distribution of advertisement tweets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>-</th>
<th>Year n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online store</td>
<td>190 (99.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>2 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical/dental supply store</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other than advertisements, the rest of the tweets (n = 128) were categorized into themes and subthemes (Table 2). The first theme was “Have the intention to buy fake braces,” which included tweets where users asked about clinics and stores that provided fake braces, the advantages and disadvantages of using fake braces, and prices (Table 2). This theme also included tweets demonstrating the reasons users desire to buy fake braces, which were mainly because of aesthetic reasons and the price difference between fake braces and orthodontic treatment (Table 2). Some users offered fake braces as an award after a contest (Table 2); these tweets were retweeted/favorited 30 times combined (results not shown).
Table 2. The distribution of Tweets across themes and subthemes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have the intention to buy fake braces</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>Asking about clinics that provide fake braces.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asking where to buy fake braces.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asking about the pros and cons of fake braces.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asking about the prices.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asking about fake braces.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Excitement to use it.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Praising fake braces.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expressing the desire to use it.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stating that aesthetics is the reason to use it.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stating that Price difference is the reason to use it.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As a prize for a contest.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using/used fake braces</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>Expressing that they had used fake braces before and were happy about it.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stating the reason they used fake braces is because aesthetic.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regretting to use it.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complication with the usage: Change in appearance.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complication with the usage.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejecting the idea of fake braces</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>Sarcasm.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Despise after past orthodontic treatment.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rejecting who use it.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No need for using it.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Denial of the existence of fake braces.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wondering how people use it and buy it.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepting the idea of fake braces</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>No harm of using it.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase beauty.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second theme included tweets related to users who used or were using fake braces. Around 66% of the tweets in this theme were about the complications and side effects of using fake braces, which included changes in appearance, caries, infections, halitosis, pain, difficulty in cleaning the braces, and mouth ulcers (Table 2). Around 16% of the tweets represented users expressing satisfaction with the experience (Table 2).

Most of the tweets were grouped into the third theme, “rejecting the idea of fake braces.” 76% of these tweets were sarcastic (Table 2). The last theme comprised tweets where users advised people to use fake braces, claiming it is a good alternative to orthodontic treatment and will cause no harm (Table 2).

The tweets were also categorized into positive, negative, and neutral. More than half of the tweets (55.5%) were negative and mainly involved sarcastic language (65.8%) and the disadvantages of using fake braces (21.9%) (Table 3). Positive tweets revolved around the idea of wanting to buy fake braces (32.0%) and advice on why people should use them (24.0%) (Table 3). The neutral tweets were mostly questions regarding different aspects of fake braces and past experiences relating to these fake braces (54.8%) (Table 3).

Table 3. Distribution of positive, negative, and neutral tweets across themes n (column %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Subthemes</th>
<th>Positive (n=25)</th>
<th>Neutral (n=32)</th>
<th>Negative (n=71)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have the intention to buy fake braces</td>
<td>Asking</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>18 (56.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excitement</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Praise</td>
<td>2 (8.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expressing the desire to use it</td>
<td>8 (32.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stating the reasons why to use it</td>
<td>3 (12.0)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As a prize for a contest</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using/used fake braces</td>
<td>Expressing that they had used fake braces before and were happy about it.</td>
<td>3 (12.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stating the reason they used fake braces is because of aesthetic</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regretting to use it</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>complications</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>12 (21.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sarcastic tweets had the most favorites; one sarcastic tweet had 56 favorites, followed by another negative tweet with 24 favorites mentioning complications such as ulcers and halitosis (data not presented). The highest number of favorites among the positive tweets was 17; the user who posted this tweet expressed their happiness in having and using fake braces (data not presented).

4. DISCUSSION

With the growing influence of social media, promoting fake braces as teeth accessories by unqualified personnel or dental offices is alarming [13]. Social media content analysis can shed light on the knowledge and behavior of the public toward using these devices. Moreover, this analysis will aid in developing a profound understanding of a certain population’s cultural directions, motives, experiences, and expectations [14]. Therefore, this study explored the perception and use of fake braces by analyzing Twitter posts.

Although fake braces have started in Southeast Asia, their use has expanded to the Far East and Middle Eastern countries. Recently, it has been noted that online companies in the United Kingdom and the United States have started to sell aligners without the direct supervision of an orthodontist; these are called do-it-yourself (DIY) orthodontics or direct-to-customer orthodontics. These companies include Smile Direct Club and Smile Life [17], and they mainly operate through three steps: sending impression kits to homes, direct access unlicensed orthodontics through social media, and it extremely concerns how public consumers can easily and directly access unlicensed orthodontics through social media. Additionally, some dental offices used trending hashtags and information about the nature, properties, and consequences of these appliances were misleading. Last but not least, some of the advertisements were abusive, as several stores used persuasive language to promote fake braces. Advertisers also used deceptive advertising by providing free gifts to their followers. On the other hand, the majority of consumers favored and retweeted these posts to their followers. On the other hand, the majority of social media influencers in their marketing posts to reach a broader audience. Our results address the magnitude of the unfortunate marketing of this malpractice, and we emphasize the need to track the content of online platforms by health authorities in Saudi Arabia as the market of unlicensed orthodontics may flourish in the next few years.

Some of the tweets in our study reflected the population’s positive impressions regarding the intention to get fake braces, particularly with the low prices offered. This can be quite tempting, especially for those who can easily be influenced by their online peers [21], and many users favored and retweeted these posts to their followers. On the other hand, the majority of negative tweets were sarcastic. Yet, the reasons behind this sarcasm were difficult to evaluate, as the users may use this approach to conceal their inability to buy or use fake braces as their peers. These results highlight how misconceptions can easily circulate among the general population.

Although it is difficult to evaluate the content on Twitter regarding the use of fake braces, especially over a long period of time, our findings suggest the problematic use of illegal
orthodontics among the Saudi population. This study highlights
the role of social media in marketing fake braces and the
magnitude of this issue. Therefore, health authorities must
establish rules and policies and enhance surveillance to control
the social media content that promotes this illegal practice.
Moreover, the encouragement of qualified orthodontists’
engagement with the public through online platforms (e.g., live
interaction, online lectures, etc.) would be potentially
beneficial in limiting the spread of such misconduct.
Additionally, efforts should be directed at identifying vulnerable populations for targeted awareness campaigns and
education about the consequences of this trend in oral health.

One of the limitations of this study is that we confined our
analysis to Twitter only; other popular social media platforms
were not investigated. However, as mentioned, Twitter is
considered one of the most used social media applications in
Saudi Arabia.

As a drawback of content analysis studies, we were not
able to identify the characteristics of the study population who
reacted toward fake braces and the possible factors that would
affect the desire for their use, such as population’s
demographics and socioeconomic status. Although we
restricted our analysis to tweets in Arabic, Saudi Arabia has a
diverse population [22], and incorporating English in future
studies with the use of geo operators to limit the results to
Saudi Arabia would help lead to more comprehensive view of
the community.

This study collected tweets during a growing period of
online marketing [14]. The use of the Twitter API v2 academic
research product track was a strength, as it helped us gain a
better insight into Twitter data by allowing access to the full
archive of public tweets using additional advanced query
operators. In addition, we included both qualitative and
quantitative analyses of Twitter posts to the unfiltered opinions
regarding fake braces among the Saudi population.

CONCLUSION
This study aimed to explore and analyze Twitter posts
related to the use of fake braces. Although many of the tweets
were against the use of fake braces, a sizable number of
advertisement tweets promoted fake braces. Negative tweets
included mainly sarcastic language, while positive tweets
highlighted the desire to buy and use fake braces. Efforts
should be made to educate the public about the risks of using
fake braces and emphasize the importance of seeking a
licensed orthodontist for treatment. In addition, health
authorities must establish laws and policies to control the social
media content that promote and advertise these harmful
products.

Future studies should broaden their analysis to measure the
severity of the content rather than focusing solely on
prevalence. Additionally, it would be beneficial to interview
some platform users to better understand the perceived impact
of social media, thoughts, and actual behavior regarding the
use of fake braces.
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