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Abstract:
Endocrown is a conservative treatment plan for endodontically treated teeth. The aim of this study was to evaluate the fracture resistance of four different preparation designs of endocrown restorations in mandibular molars.

Methods:
Thirty-six endodontically treated molars were divided into two groups (L, MD). In group L, the lingual wall and in group MD, mesial and distal walls were removed up to 1 mm above the CEJ. For each group, 2 subgroups (n=9) were considered: B) 2mm occlusal reduction with butt joint finish line, S) axial reduction with radial shoulder finish line, in addition to 2mm occlusal reduction. Digital impression was performed, followed by designing and milling the lithium disilicate blocks. After cementation of the restorations and thermocycling, fracture resistance and failure mode were investigated.

Results:
Groups LB and LS, showed significantly higher fracture resistance compared to groups MDB and MDS (P=0.02). However, the type of finish line did not have a significant effect on the fracture resistance in the experimented groups (P=0.232).

Conclusion:
Unlike the finish line type, the number of remaining axial walls had a significant effect on fracture resistance. Although most of the fractures were catastrophic, they occurred due to forces much greater than usual.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Endodontically treated teeth (ETT) are more susceptible to fracture due to a lack of structural durability and dehydration [1]. The amount of remaining tooth structure plays a significant role in the strength of these teeth after rehabilitation [2, 3]. By evolution of adhesive dentistry, endocrowns have become a vital treatment option for ETT compared to post-core crowns with acceptable long-term outcomes [4, 5]. However, considering less extension of preparation, preservation of tooth structure and elimination of the need for crown lengthening, endocrowns are a more conservative treatment option. The most common ceramic for restoring ETT with endocrown is Lithium disilicate glass-ceramic due to its mechanical properties and etching ability [6]. Different designs have been presented for axial walls to increase the retention and resistance form. Alamini et al. reported no significant difference between deep chamfer and butt-joint margins in terms of fracture resistance [7]; Einhorn et al.’s study revealed the same results [8]. On the other hand, Taha et al. revealed higher fracture resistance in groups with ferrule rather that butt-joint margin [1]. Also, there is little information about the effect of endocrown design on the biomechanical properties of ETT. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the fracture resistance of 4 different designs of endocrown restoration in mandibular molar teeth. The null hypothesis was
that neither the number of remaining axial walls nor the type of finish line would influence the fracture resistance of the endocrown restorations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by an ethical committee of the Dental Faculty, Azad University, Tehran, Iran (IR.IAU.TMU.REC.1399.181). The sample size was calculated for a significance level of α = 0.05 and power of 0.8 (n=9 in each group). In this experimental in vitro study, 36 sound human mandibular molars (with completely formed apices, without caries in the cervical region and also without cracks which were confirmed by 2.5× magnification dental loupes) were included. The mesiodistal, occlusocervical and buccolingual dimensions of selected teeth were measured by a digital caliper (500 series Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) and only the teeth within 1 mm of the mean size were included. The teeth were kept at room temperature in a solution containing 0.1% thymol (Caelo, Hilden, Germany). After cleaning the teeth with water and pumice powder and also a dental ultrasonic scaler, they were stored in distilled water throughout the study. Endodontic treatment was performed by the same practitioner based on a similar sequence. Root canals were prepared with rotary files (SP1 V-Taper, China), and rinsed with 1% sodium hypochlorite. Finally, rinsing with 5 ml of 17% EDTA and 5 ml NaOCl 1% was performed. The root canals were dried with paper cones (Diadent, Seoul, Korea) and filled with gutta-percha/AH26 sealer (De Trey Dentsply, Kontanz, Germany) using the lateral condensation method. The gutta-percha at the orifice of the canals was removed and compacted with a hot plunger in the vertical direction. The access cavity was temporarily filled and the specimens were compacted with a hot plunger in the vertical direction. Specimens were evaluated visually to identify the failure mode based on the Burke classification as follows: CL I: Minimal fracture or crack in the crown, CL II: Less than half crown lost, CL III: Half of the crown displayed or lost, CL IV: More than half of crown lost, CL V: Severe fracture of the tooth and/or crown [10].

3. RESULTS

The mean fracture resistance values for tested groups are

2.1. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using two-way ANOVA in PASS 11 software (α = 0.05). Survival estimates for restoration longevity according to preparation design were evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method.
depicted in Table 1. The lowest values were detected in MDB and MDS groups respectively. On the other hand, lower fracture resistance in the specimens without two axial walls compared to specimens with three remaining walls was statistically significant (P=0.02). However, the type of finish line did not have a statistically significant effect on the fracture resistance in the experimented groups (P=0.232). Furthermore, the interaction of the finish line type and the number of remaining axial walls were insignificant (P=0.687). Based on the Bruke classification, the most failure modes observed within the specimens were type III and V (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Mean fracture resistance (N) and standard deviation of specimens in tested groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture Resistance Groups</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>1287.00</td>
<td>3348.00</td>
<td>2153.11</td>
<td>815.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>1273.00</td>
<td>3295.00</td>
<td>2323.11</td>
<td>822.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDB</td>
<td>1045.00</td>
<td>1573.00</td>
<td>1359.88</td>
<td>151.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>1050.00</td>
<td>2651.00</td>
<td>1700.44</td>
<td>467.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Same superscript letters show mean values with no statistically significant difference between groups (P > .05).
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**Fig. (2).** A) Failure modes investigated in test groups, B) Type II, C) Type III, D) Type V (left to right).

### 4. DISCUSSION

In this study, the fracture resistance of mandibular molars with 2 or 3 remaining axial walls as well as two types of finish lines (butt-joint or shoulder) were investigated. Regarding the design preparation, the null hypothesis was partially rejected. Unlike the finish line type, the remaining walls had a significant effect on the specimens' fracture resistance. Due to the advantages of endocrowns (fewer clinical procedures, conservative removal of dentinal tissue, less chairside time, lower laboratory costs, better distribution of masticatory stresses at the tooth-restoration interface, elimination of the need for crown lengthening surgery due to the lack of ferrule, no need to remove root canal filling in teeth with improper root length or shape), they are becoming more popular in treating ETT. Bindle and Mormann reported only one failure out of nineteen endocrowns after twenty-eight months of follow-up due to recurrent caries [11]. Biacchi and Basting reported higher fracture strength for teeth restored with endocrown compared to conventional crowns supported by glass fiber posts and composite core [12]. Although ferulization was not considered in the previous study, it could affect the results. Based on Belleflame et al.’s study, endocrowns revealed a high survival rate (98.8%) during 10 years of follow-up [5]. Also, a recent systematic review showed that the fracture strength of endocrowns restoring posterior teeth was similar to or higher than conventional crowns [4]. In the present study, monolithic lithium disilicate was used which is the choice material in making endocrowns. To investigate the fracture resistance, the force was applied to the occlusal surface perpendicular to the long axis of the specimens, simulating the forces in the molar region. Based on the obtained results, it was observed that specimens with three axial walls had significantly higher fracture resistance than ones with two walls. The amount of residual tooth tissue and its preservation is one of the key factors in the success of restorative treatment; the more remaining tooth structure, the better the biomechanical properties and fracture resistance would be. Tribst et al. examined the effect of residual dental structure on the stress distribution of ETT reconstructed with endocrowns by the FEA method and emphasized the importance of preserving the remaining dental tissue [3]. Arunpraditkul et al. presented higher fracture resistance in premolars with 4 remaining walls rehabilitated with post-core-crown than specimens with 3 residual walls [2]. On the other hand, a greater remaining tooth structure means a further surface for adhesive bonding and better stress distribution in the area of contact between the restoration and the tooth. Also, tooth strength is directly related to the amount of residual tooth tissue which increases retention and crown resistance against rotation [1]. Therefore, the coronal structure of the ETT should be preserved as much as possible.

Based on the results of this study, it was observed that there is no significant difference between groups with butt-joint or shoulder finish lines, which was in line with Alamin et al. study [7]. Einhorn et al. demonstrated higher fracture resistance in specimens with a ferrule, however, failure stress considering the surface area available for adhesion was not different in any study groups [8]. Also, Taha et al. study revealed greater fracture resistance for the shoulder margin than butt-joint in Enamic endocrowns [1]. The result of Ahmed et al.’s study displayed significantly higher fracture resistance considering circumferential ferrule compared to the flat occlusal table for the Lithium disilicate group, while it was not different for the Zirconia group [13]. Biomechanically, compressive loads are distributed and resisted with butt-joint margin and shear forces through the axial wall with shoulder finish line [1]. Although the mean fracture loads in the current study were higher for the cervical shoulder, this difference was not significant which could be due to exerting compressive load along the axial axis of the teeth. Hence, it is recommended to evaluate the results under angled static loading or cyclic loading or follow-up of clinical cases in further studies. However, the mean fracture loads for the test groups were...
much higher than the maximum chewing force in the molar region [14]. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that a more complex preparation design like adding ferrule would result in more discrepancies in the intaglio surface of endocrown restorations [8, 15].

The survey of failure mode in the specimens demonstrated catastrophic fractures in most of them (type III and V of Burke classification). Although type II fracture was detected only in LB and LS groups, type III and IV were dominant in all groups; the important point was that fractures all occurred due to forces much greater than normal chewing loads. In this study, thermocycling was performed to simulate clinical conditions, although considering cyclic loading and fatigue conditions would simulate it better. On the other hand, oral conditions are a combination of dynamic forces, thermal and chemical procedures acting on the teeth and restorations: therefore, prospective clinical trial studies are the most valuable studies. In addition, investigation of the internal and marginal adaptation of endocrown restoration for each preparation design should be considered.

The specimens in group LB and LS depicted more similar results compared to groups MDB and MDS. It implies that the amount of remaining tooth structure is more influential than the finish line type. In group MD, the specimens with shoulder finish lines displayed more fracture resistance, although it was not significant. Therefore, in case of further destruction, selecting the appropriate finish line would become more important. It is noteworthy that the addition of an axial wall in form of a shoulder finish line would increase the bonding surface, while reducing the remaining tooth structure.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, it was concluded that ETT with 3 remaining coronal walls displayed significantly higher fracture resistance than teeth with only 2 remaining coronal walls. Adding an axial wall with a shoulder finish line to the preparation design, had no significant effect compared to the butt-joint margin. Therefore, preservation of tooth structure is of great importance in the preparation of ETT for endocrown restoration. The butt-joint finish line would be recommended to maintain the coronal structure and achieve the aforementioned goal.
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