RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Efficacy of Three Mouthwashes on *Candida albicans* in Patients with Denture Stomatitis: *In Vivo* Study



Sara Zaky Mohamed^{1,2}, Najlaa Saadi Ismael³, Dinesh Rokaya^{4,5,*}, Wafaa Yahia Alghonemy³, Eman A. Tawfik⁶ and Gamal Abdel Nasser Atia⁷

¹Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Zarqa University, Zarqa, Jordan

²Department of Removable Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

³Department of Basic Medical and Dental Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Zarga University, Zarga, Jordan

⁴Clinical Sciences Department, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

⁵Center of Medical and Bio-Allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

⁶Department of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

⁷Department of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

Abstract:

Introduction: The oral mucosa provides an optimal environment for the colonization of microorganisms and fungi, exhibiting a variety of biological habitats. The accumulation of biofilm on dentures significantly contributes to the development of denture stomatitis. Mouthwashes have been proven to exhibit an antiseptic effect and are widely used due to their antimicrobial effects. This research aims to examine the antibacterial efficacy of three types of mouthwashes as denture cleansers for people with denture stomatitis.

Methods: Sixty patients were chosen from removable prosthodontics clinics and were categorized into groups treated with three different types of commercial mouthwashes (Tantum Verde, Hextol mouthwash, and Orovex). Subsequently, swabs were collected from each patient, and the fungal growth of *Candida albicans* was evaluated.

Results: All studied mouthwashes (Tantum Verde, Hextol mouthwash, and Orovex) effectively decreased the quantity of bacteria on dentures. Mouthwashes containing chlorhexidine showed better results on the inhibition of the growth of *C. albicans* in comparison to other mouthwashes.

Discussion: Maintaining proper oral hygiene for dentures is crucial for preventing and treating denture stomatitis. Chemical disinfectants are recognized for their significance over mechanical cleaning, such as effective disinfection and simplicity. Mouthwashes serve as an efficient method for cleaning dentures, and prolonged use may impact the material characteristics of the dentures, including surface roughness and coloration.

Conclusions: Tantum Verde, Hextol, and Orovex mouthwashes are effective in decreasing the *C. albicans* on dentures. The chlorhexidine mouthwashes show better results than other mouthwashes. Furthermore, using a wider array of microorganisms in future investigations might provide a more thorough comprehension of their involvement in denture-related complications.

Keywords: Denture, Denture stomatitis, Mouthwashes, Chlorhexidine, Benzydamine, Candida albicans.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Clinical Sciences Department, College of Dentistry, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates and Center of Medical and Bio-Allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates; E-mail: dineshrokaya115@hotmail.com

Cite as: Mohamed SZ, Ismael N, Rokaya D, Alghonemy W, Tawfik E, Atia G. Efficacy of Three Mouthwashes on Candida albicans in Patients with Denture Stomatitis: In Vivo Study. Open Dent J, 2025; 19: e18742106376765. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118742106376765250602104503



Received: December 28, 2024 Revised: March 10, 2025 Accepted: May 05, 2025 Published: June 05, 2025



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

^{© 2025} The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denture Stomatitis (DS), also known as chronic erythematous candidiasis, is a chronic inflammatory condition of the oral mucosa that affects over 50% of individuals wearing dentures [1]. DS commonly affects the palatal mucosa and is more common in older populations, with women having a higher prevalence than men. The etiology of this condition is multifactorial, including trauma induced by dentures, long-term use, inadequate hygiene, eating habits, and systemic variables [2, 3]. DS is more likely to be seen in individuals with acrylic (polymethylmethacrylate, PMMA) dentures [4]. DS is also observed in healthy, younger adults who wear dentures [1, 4].

The causative factor for the DS is the microbial film [5, 6]. PMMA dentures are rapidly colonized by bacteria and *Candida* species, such as *Staphylococcus* or *Enterobacteria-ceae* species. These microbial reservoirs can cause DS and aspiration pneumonia, particularly in older individuals [7, 8].

For the dependent elderly people, dental and denture hygiene is often inadeguate. Denture hygiene is a common concern among elderly individuals living in shelters and disabled denture users [3]. Saliva plays a dual role in the adherence of Candida to PMMA [6, 9]. It serves a cleansing function and contains antimicrobial components such as immunoglobulins, lysozymes, glycoproteins, peroxidase, and lactoferrin. These chemicals engage with Candida species, diminishing their adherence and colonization [10]. Decreased salivary secretion increases the adhesion of Candida to the denture base and surrounding mucosal surfaces, ultimately progressing to the hyphal form, penetrating the mucosal tissue, and inducing inflammation, clinically referred to as DS [2]. The removable prosthesis is a major risk factor for developing DS, including poor oral hygiene and persistent use of dentures [5, 6, 11].

Mouthwashes are used to preserve optimal oral health and for cleaning dentures. Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX) is a potent antiseptic agent that is effective against several bacterial spores, fungi, and viruses [5, 12]. It has been reported that there is microbiological and clinical efficacy of chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) as a topical antiseptic and disinfection agent used for the treatment of candidiasis in elderly patients [13]. CHX is useful in the treatment of DS. However, the brown appearance of acrylic dentures soaked in CHX can be a significant barrier to utilizing it as a daily disinfection agent [12]. Benzydamine and benzydamine-containing MoWs reduced C. albicans adhesion and biofilm formation. Some of these effects are correlated with changes in QS molecule secretion [14]. Hence, this study aimed to compare the antimicrobial effects of three types of mouthwashes as denture cleaners in denture stomatitis patients. Tantum Verde, Hextol, and Orovex mouthwashes were used in this study. The hypothesis was that there was no difference in antimicrobial effects among the three types of mouthwashes as denture cleaners in denture stomatitis patients.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Design

This is an observational clinical study.

2.2. Study Subjects

The research received approval from the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry at Sinai University, El Arish, Egypt (approval number: Proth 1-8-022). Informed consent was obtained from all patients before the study.

A group of complete denture-wearing patients were studied at the Removable Prosthodontic Clinic at Suez Canal University for 6 months. The patients were examined for denture stomatitis (erythema involving part or whole denture-bearing mucosa).

The patients were selected according to the following inclusion criteria.

- Use of a denture for over a year.
- Dentures with *C. albicans*.
- The patient's age between 50 and 80 years.
- No systemic diseases.

The patients were excluded according to the following exclusion criteria:

- Denture fabricated less than a year.
- Patient with clean dentures without *C. albicans*.
- The patient's age below 50 and above 80 years.
- Presence of systemic diseases requiring medication that affects the oral bacterial flora.

Sample size calculation:

$$\frac{N = (0.5)2(1.96+0.84)^2 \cdot (2 \cdot 1)^2}{0.25^2}$$
$$N = 61.2 \approx 60$$

Where:

95% confidence is approximately 1.96.

80% power is approximately 0.84.

a standard deviation (σ) of the outcome is 1.

The expected difference between group means is 0.5.

A total of 60 patients (37 females and 23 males) were selected based on the inclusion criteria and were divided randomly into three groups (n = 20).

2.3. Study Details

Step 1. The patients' dentures were cleaned by asking patients to soak them in denture cleanser for 8 hours. The patients were advised to use the denture and were not allowed to clean their dentures for 3 days.

Step 2. After the disinfection, the complete dentures were immersed in sterile distilled water for 3 minutes, and then swab samples were taken.

Step 3. The complete dentures were then treated with one mouthwash randomly. Twenty dentures were soaked in Tantum mouthwash (Tantum Verde, Eipico Egyptian INT., under license of A.C.R. spa Italy), 20 dentures were soaked in Hextol mouthwash (The Arab Drug Company (ADCO) – A.R.E), and 20 dentures in Orovex mouth (Macro Group, Egypt) as shown in Fig. (1). Each denture group was soaked

3

for 30 minutes, which is a common method for reducing microbial contamination, especially significant microbial reduction, including *Candida*, *Staphylococcus*, and *Streptococcus* species [15, 16].

Step 4. Swabs were taken from the maxillary denture (palatal surface) and were cultured in a Dextrose agar medium, followed by microscopy examinations.

The solutions are labeled randomly as S1, S2, and S3 by a technician. The researcher conducting the microbiological analysis is unaware of the disinfectant types used.

2.4. Candidal Count

Candida colony count was carried out at 37°C in aerobic conditions after incubation for 48 hours. Finally, *C. albicans*

was differentiated from other species, which was done by its production of filaments and growth on corn meal agar. Figs. (2-4) show the *Candida* growth before and after the use of Tantum, Hextol, and Orovex mouthwashes. One investigator carried out all the microbiological procedures. The samples (30 µl/disc) were analyzed using the disc diffusion method against *Candida* collection isolates from different patients' swabs [17]. Following incubation, the zone of inhibition (ZOI) around each disc is measured using a calibrated ruler in millimeters (mm). Results are evaluated against CLSI breakpoints for classification as susceptible (S), intermediate (I), or resistant (R). A significant zone of inhibition indicates that *Candida* is prone to the antifungal agent. Minimal or absent zone of inhibition (ZOI) indicates that *Candida* exhibits resistance to the antifungal agent.



Fig. (1). Various types of mouthwashes (Tantum Verde, Hextol mouthwash, Orovex mouth) were used in this study.



Fig. (2). Candida growth before (a) and after (b) the use of Tantum mouthwash.







Fig. (4). Candida growth before (a) and after (b) the use of Orovex mouthwash.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all three types of mouthwashes (Tantum Verde, Hextol mouthwash, and Orovex). Data were analyzed using SPSS 18 and multiple comparisons were performedusing One-way ANOVA and post hoc using the Scheffe Test at the significance level of 0.05.

3. RESULTS

The differences in *Candida* growth before and after the treatment of three mouthwashes were examined. All mouth-

washes showed a reduction in the number of *C. albicans* CFU. Table **1** shows the results of descriptive statistics, and Table **2** shows the multiple comparisons of the results. Fig. (**5**) shows the line chart showing the different effects of the three tested mouthwashes. Hextol mouthwash showed the most effective method used in the study by inhibiting or decreasing the growth of *Candida* in comparison to the used types of mouthwashes. It showed a significant difference between the Hextol group and both the Tantum and Orovex groups. The Orovex mouthwash showed less efficacy on *Candida* than the Hextol mouthwash, and the least effective was the Tantum mouthwash.

Groups	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
Hextol	20	15.50	5.359	1.695	11.67	19.33	8	25
Orovex	20	7.40	4.222	1.335	4.38	10.42	0	11
Tantum	20	1.80	2.898	0.917	-0.27	3.87	0	6

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the three tested groups in the study.

Table 2. Multiple comparisons among the three tested groups in the study.

(I) Group	(J) Group	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	<i>p</i> -value
Hextol	Orovex	8.100*	1.914	0.001*
riextor	Tantum	13.700*	1.914	<0.001*
Orovex	Hextol	-8.100*	1.914	0.001*
Orovex	Tantum	5.600*	1.914	0.024*
Tantum	Hextol	-13.700*	1.914	<0.001*
i ailluili	Orovex	-5.600*	1.914	0.024*

Note: * Significant at the 0.05 level.



Fig. (5). The line chart illustrates the different effects of the three tested mouthwashes.

4. DISCUSSION

The accumulation of biofilm on dentures significantly contributes to the development of denture stomatitis [15]. Furthermore, it has been determined that mechanical cleaning of dentures is inadequate in effectively decreasing the quantity of bacteria present in dentures and the palate [6]. Nevertheless, certain investigations have demonstrated that not all disinfectants exhibit efficacy against the primary pathogen responsible for denture-related issues, namely *Candida* [12]. Consequently, it has been proposed that all disinfectants should undergo examination in both laboratory settings and living organisms to resolve any discrepancies between investigations [13]. The saliva of most denture users contains these yeasts, which have a strong attraction to adhere to methacrylate resin. Maintaining proper oral hygiene for dentures is crucial for preventing and treating denture stomatitis [18, 19].

Chemical disinfectants are recognized for their many benefits over mechanical cleaning, such as effective disinfection and simplicity [3, 20]. After 30 minutes of immersion, Hextol was found to be more efficacious than Orovex and Tantum in diminishing *Candida*. Hextol contains CHX, which inhibits bacterial colonization of the teeth and the development of gingivitis [12, 13]. CHX exhibits antimicrobial properties against bacteria, fungi, and viruses responsible for several oral illnesses. The antibacterial actions of CHX in vitro are solely attributed to the modification of cell membrane permeability [21]. This statement is supported by a study that stated that immersing complete dentures in a 0.5% sodium hypochlorite or 0.12% CHX solution for 10 minutes weekly significantly decreased bacterial viability on complete dentures [22]. The CHXbased mouthwashes can be recommended for long-term use, but the side effects of the CHX need to be informed to the patient, *i.e.*, there is a risk of denture discoloration that needs to be informed to the patient [23, 24].

Also, Orovex mouthwash was effective in the inhibition of *Candida* due to the CHX in its composition and the presence of thyme, which has been proven to have antifungal effects [19, 24]. Thyme possesses significant amounts of carvacrol, thymol, and thymoquinone, making it suitable for the therapeutic management of DS. Carvacrol is one of the major components of Origanum Syriacum's anti-fungal effectiveness [25].

This is in agreement with Pavarina *et al.* [26], who found CHX effective as a denture cleanser using different concentrations. Their study evaluated chemical agents for cleaning and disinfecting removable dental prostheses, finding that CHX, sodium hypochlorite, and alkaline peroxide effectively reduced microorganism growth. An *in vitro* study showed that CHX mouthwash without alcohol, such as Curasept, Meridol, Dentosan, and Parodontax, has the strongest effects against *Candida* biofilm formation, but *Streptococcus* also forms biofilms [27].

The main composition of the Tantum mouthwashes is benzydamine, which affects *Candida* growth in high concentrations but has decreased or no effect in low concentrations. The Tantum group has the least effect on *Candida*, which may be due to the decreased concentration of benzydamine. Studies indicated that benzydamine, at a dosage of 0.6%, effectively suppressed fungal viability regardless of the duration of contact. Additionally, treatment with 0.3% benzydamine resulted in a consistent 2-log reduction in fungal viability across all contact durations. There were no noticeable impacts on the survival of fungi following exposure to the lowest levels of benzydamine [14, 28].

The considerable decrease in the quantity of C. albicans seen in this study indicates that mouthwashes are an appropriate means of cleansing dentures for the general population. Further research can be performed to include various other bacteria and fungi. Additional research can be done to ascertain whether regular utilization of mouthwashes can decrease the elevated occurrence of people with denture stomatitis. On the other hand, Lamfon *et al.* [29] studied denture biofilms and their susceptibility to *Candida* spp. *in vitro*. They found that single agents like miconazole, fluconazole, or CHX did not inhibit *Candida* growth. Combinations of miconazole and CHX reduced the growth of bacteria and *Candida* for several days.

The main drawback of using chemical cleansers is their effect on denture material properties. Using chemical cleansers for a month can significantly alter the surface roughness of the acrylic denture base. However, the curing protocol after polymerization is crucial for improving surface stability. The effect of chemical cleaners was more noticeable in long-term cycle curing, as short-term procedures tend to create roughness. Long-term cycle curing is recommended for reducing surface roughness [27]. Daily disinfection of dentures with CHX may not cause very serious side effects on the teeth in the short term, but in long-term use, changes in the color of denture have been reported [30].

There are some limitations in this research. The research focused only on *C. albicans*, a major pathogen in denture stomatitis. Nonetheless, other bacterial and fungal species potentially implicated in denture-associated oral infections were not taken into account. Broadening the study to include a greater variety of diseases may provide a more thorough understanding of the efficacy of various disinfectants. In addition, the study concentrated on the shortterm effects of disinfectants, whereas the long-term implications, including changes to the properties of denture material and other side effects like discoloration, were not thoroughly investigated. Longitudinal studies are essential to evaluate the longevity and safety of disinfectants over prolonged durations.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the formation of biofilm on dentures significantly contributes to the onset of denture stomatitis, with Candida as a principal pathogen implicated. CHXbased treatments, such as Hextol and Orovex mouthwash, have shown substantial antifungal efficacy, especially against C. albicans. The use of thyme in Orovex significantly amplifies its antifungal efficacy, making it a suitable option for denture hygiene. The efficiency of disinfectants is contingent upon their composition and concentration, as shown by Tantum mouthwash, which has limited effects on Candida proliferation at lower doses of benzydamine. While mouthwashes serve as an efficient method for cleaning dentures, their prolonged use may impact the material characteristics of the dentures, including surface roughness and coloration. Furthermore, using a wider array of microorganisms in future investigations might provide a more thorough comprehension of their involvement in denture-related complications.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors confirm their contribution to the paper as follows: S.Z.: The study conception and design were carried out; G.A.: Conceptualization was done; W.Y.A. and E.A.T.: Methodology was developed; D.R.: The analysis and interpretation of results were performed. The draft manuscript was prepared by NSI. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DS = Denture stomatitis C. albicans = Candida albicans PMMA = Polymethyl methacrylate CHX = Chlorhexidine gluconate ClO_2 = Chlorine dioxide ANOVA = Analysis of variance SPSS Statistical package for social sciences **ETHICS APPROVAL** AND

CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The research received approval from the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry at Sinai University, El Arish, Egypt (approval number: Proth 1-8-022).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or research committee and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all patients before the study.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sartawi SY, Abu-Hammad S, A Salim N, Al-Omoush S. Denture stomatitis revisited: A summary of systematic reviews in the past decade and two case reports of papillary hyperplasia of unusual locations. Int J Dent 2021; 2021: 7338143. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/7338143 PMID: 34691183
- [2] Abuhajar E, Ali K, Zulfigar G, et al. Management of chronic atrophic candidiasis (Denture Stomatitis)-A narrative review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2023; 20(4): 3029. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20043029 PMID: 36833718
- [3] Patel M. Oral cavity and Candida albicans: Colonisation to the development of infection. Pathogens 2022: 11(3): 335. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11030335 PMID: 35335659

[4] Singh H, Sharma S, Singh S, Wazir N, Raina R. Problems faced by complete denture-wearing elderly people living in jammu district. J Clin Diagn Res 2014; 8(12): ZC25-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/9900.5265 PMID: 25654025

- [5] Janto M, Iurcov R, Daina CM, et al. Oral health among elderly, impact on life quality, access of elderly patients to oral health services and methods to improve oral health: A narrative review. J Pers Med 2022; 12(3): 372. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jpm12030372 PMID: 35330372
- [6] Mylonas P, Milward P, McAndrew R. Denture cleanliness and hygiene: An overview. Br Dent J 2022; 233(1): 20-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41415-022-4397-1 PMID: 35804119
- [7] Talapko J, Juzbašić M, Matijević T, et al. Candida albicans-The virulence factors and clinical manifestations of infection. J Fungi 2021: 7(2): 79. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jof7020079 PMID: 33499276
- [8] Mortazavi H, Safi Y, Baharvand M, Jafari S, Anbari F, Rahmani S.
- Oral white lesions: An updated clinical diagnostic decision tree. Dent J 2019; 7(1): 15.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/dj7010015 PMID: 30736423

- [9] Vila T, Rizk AM, Sultan AS, Jabra-Rizk MA. The power of saliva: Antimicrobial and beyond. PLoS Pathog 2019; 15(11): e1008058. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008058 PMID: 31725797
- [10] Ponton J, Elguezabal N, Maza JL, Dorronsoro S. Whole saliva has a dual role on the adherence of candida albicans to polymethylmetacrylate. Open Dent J 2008; 2(1): 1-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874210600802010001 PMID: 19088875
- [11] Montero J. A review of the major prosthetic factors influencing the prognosis of implant prosthodontics. J Clin Med 2021; 10(4): 816. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10040816 PMID: 33671394
- [12] Brookes ZLS, Bescos R, Belfield LA, Ali K, Roberts A. Current uses of chlorhexidine for management of oral disease: A narrative review. J Dent 2020; 103: 103497. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2020.103497 PMID: 33075450
- [13] Nair V, Karibasappa GN, Dodamani A, Prashanth VK. Microbial contamination of removable dental prosthesis at different interval of usage: An in vitro study. J Indian Prosthodont Soc 2016; 16(4): 346-51.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0972-4052.176536 PMID: 27746598

- [14] Ardizzoni A, Boaretto G, Pericolini E, et al. Effects of benzydamine and mouthwashes containing benzydamine on Candida albicans adhesion, biofilm formation, regrowth, and persistence. Clin Oral Investig 2022; 26(4): 3613-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-04330-8 PMID: 35066687
- [15] Uludamar A, Özkan YK, Kadir T, Ceyhan I. In vivo efficacy of alkaline peroxide tablets and mouthwashes on Candida albicans in patients with denture stomatitis. J Appl Oral Sci 2010; 18(3): 291-6.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1678-77572010000300017 PMID: 20857010

- [16] Nishi Y, Seto K, Murakami M, et al. Effects of denture cleaning regimens on the quantity of Candida on dentures: A crosssectional survey on nursing home residents. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022; 19(23): 15805. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192315805 PMID: 36497881
- [17] Chanda W, Manyepa M, Chikwanda E, et al. Evaluation of antibiotic susceptibility patterns of pathogens isolated from routine laboratory specimens at Ndola Teaching Hospital: A retrospective study. PLoS One 2019; 14(12): e0226676. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226676 PMID: 31869354
- [18] Neppelenbroek KH. The importance of daily removal of the denture biofilm for oral and systemic diseases prevention. J Appl Oral Sci 2015; 23(6): 547-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-77572015ed006 PMID: 26814455
- [19] Song YG, Lee SH. Efficacy of newly developed denture cleaning device on physical properties of denture material and Candida biofilm. J Dent Sci 2019; 14(3): 248-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2019.01.011 PMID: 31528252
- [20] Kiatwarawut K, Rokaya D, Sirisoontorn I. Antimicrobial activity of

various disinfectants to clean thermoplastic polymeric appliances in orthodontics. Polymers 2022; 14(11): 2256. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/polym14112256 PMID: 35683929

[21] Cheung HY, Wong MMK, Cheung SH, Liang LY, Lam YW, Chiu SK. Differential actions of chlorhexidine on the cell wall of Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli. PLoS One 2012; 7(5): e36659.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036659 PMID: 22606280

- [22] Valentini-Mioso F, Maske TT, Cenci MS, Boscato N, Pereira-Cenci T. Chemical hygiene protocols for complete dentures: A crossover randomized clinical trial. J Prosthet Dent 2019; 121(1): 83-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2017.12.022 PMID: 30017164
- [23] Raszewski Z, Nowakowska D, Więckiewicz W, Nowakowska-Toporowska A. The effect of chlorhexidine disinfectant gels with anti-discoloration systems on color and mechanical properties of PMMA resin for dental applications. Polymers 2021; 13(11): 1800. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/polym13111800 PMID: 34072540
- [24] Poppolo Deus F, Ouanounou A. Chlorhexidine in dentistry: Pharmacology, uses, and adverse effects. Int Dent J 2022; 72(3): 269-77.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2022.01.005 PMID: 35287956

[25] eltamimi , mohamed S. Antifungal action of thyme and basil extracts on different denture base materials. Egypt Dent J 2021; 67(4): 3643-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/edj.2021.91647.1760

- [26] Pavarina AC, Machado AL, Giampaolo ET, Vergani CE. Effects of chemical disinfectants on the transverse strength of denture base acrylic resins. J Oral Rehabil 2003; 30(11): 1085-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.2003.01150.x PMID: 14641673
- [27] Machado de Andrade I, Cruz PC, Silva-Lovato CH, de Souza RF, Cristina Monteiro Souza-Gugelmin M, de Freitas Oliveira Paranhos H. Effect of chlorhexidine on denture biofilm accumulation. J Prosthodont 2012; 21(1): 2-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2011.00774.x PMID: 21972847
- [28] Exner M, Bhattacharya S, Gebel J, et al. Chemical disinfection in healthcare settings: Critical aspects for the development of global strategies. GMS Hyg Infect Control 2020; 15: Doc36. http://dx.doi.org/10.3205/dgkh000371 PMID: 33520601
- [29] Lamfon H, Al-Karaawi Z, McCullough M, Porter SR, Pratten J. Composition of *in vitro* denture plaque biofilms and susceptibility to antifungals. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2005; 242(2): 345-51. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2004.11.032 PMID: 15621458
- [30] Jeyapalan K, Kumar J, Azhagarasan NS. Comparative evaluation of the effect of denture cleansers on the surface topography of denture base materials: An in-vitro study. J Pharm Bioallied Sci 2015; 7(Suppl 2): 548.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0975-7406.163536 PMID: 26538915