RESEARCH ARTICLE

Antibacterial Action of Curcumin Nanocrystals on Streptococcus Mutans

Mohammad Yousef Memar¹, Mina Yekani¹, Yashar Rezaei², Milad Norouzi², Simin Sharifi^{3,*} and Solmaz Maleki Dizaj^{2,3,*}

¹Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran ²Department of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran ³Dental and Periodontal Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract:

Aims: The present study aimed to investigate the antibacterial and antibiofilm properties and adhesion inhibitory effect of curcumin nanocrystals compared to bulk curcumin against Streptococcus mutans.

Background: S. mutans is the main aetiological factor in tooth decay. Curcumin has been used in various studies as an antimicrobial agent due to its wide traditional uses and low side effects. Despite having multiple therapeutic effects, its inherent properties, for example, poor aquas solubility, low bioavailability, photodegradation, chemical instability, rapid metabolism, and short half-life, limit its pharmaceutical significance. Recently, newer strategies have been tried to overcome these pharmacological issues and improve curcumin's therapeutic efficacy. The nanoformulation can enhance the effectiveness of curcumin in a wide range of diseases, especially infectious diseases

Methods: The present study evaluated the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), biofilm inhibitory, and adhesion inhibitory actions of curcumin nanocrystals compared to bulk curcumin against S. mutans.

Results: The results showed that curcumin nanocrystals were more effective than curcumin against S. mutans. The MICs spectrum of bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals was 256-512 µg/mL and 125-256 µg/mL, respectively. Both bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals inhibited the formation of S. mutans biofilm (p < 0.05), and bacterial adhesion was significantly decreased compared to bulk curcumin and control (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: These findings showed that using curcumin nanocrystals in mouthwashes and toothpaste can be useful in preventing dental plaque. Further research is required to determine the stability of curcumin nanocrystals in formulation with other dental product ingredients and to assess their safety and efficacy in human clinical trials.

Keywords: Curcumin, nanocrystals, antimicrobial, antibacterial, Streptococcus mutans, Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to these authors at the Department of Dental Biomaterials, Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran and Dental and Periodontal Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran; E-mails: sharifi.ghazi@gmail.com, maleki.s.89@gmail.com and malekidizaj@tbzmed.ac.ir

Cite as: Memar M, Yekani M, Rezaei Y, Norouzi M, Sharifi S, Dizaj S. Antibacterial Action of Curcumin Nanocrystals on Streptococcus Mutans. Open Dent J, 2025; 19: e18742106370812. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118742106370812250313073429



Accepted: February 10, 2025 Published: March 20, 2025



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net



OPEN ACCESS

1. INTRODUCTION

In dental caries, *Streptococcus mutans* is the main aetiological factor [1, 2]. This bacterium can adhere to solid surfaces, colonize the oral cavity, and create biofilms [3]. A dental bacterial biofilm is a bacterial mass formed in a selfgenerating extracellular matrix. Bacteria in biofilm formation have a completely different phenotype from the planktonic form [4]. The bacteria in the biofilm of teeth are much more resistant to adverse growth situations such as hydrodynamic shear forces and biocides [5, 6]. Through Glycosyltransferases (Gtfs), *S. mutans* produces Extracellular Polysaccharide (EPS), which forms the biofilm matrix [7]. EPS intermediates permanent adhesion between bacteria to form a biofilm with high cell density that increases the resistance of bacteria to antibiotics [8].

Today, natural substances are increasingly considered as alternative or adjunctive anti-caries treatments. Plantderived molecules, in particular, are often superior to synthetic derivatives due to their natural evolution and reduced risk of resistance [9, 10].

Curcumin, the main component of turmeric or Curcuma longa L., is considered a potentially satisfying antimicrobial agent [11, 12]. Curcumin can prevent the growth of bacteria such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Staphylococcus aureus, Trichophyton gypseum, and Salmonella paratyphi [11, 13]. Recent studies displayed that curcumin is effective against drug-resistant bacteria [14]. Curcumin is effective against dental disease-related oral bacteria [15]. Song et al. revealed that curcumin can prevent the adhesion of S. mutansvia its effect on fibronectin and collagen [14]. The study of Hu *et al.* showed that curcumin is an inhibitor of *S. mutans* sortase A and has capable anticaries properties based on the anti-adhesion mechanism [16]. Furthermore, the planktonic form of *S. mutans* can be photoinactivation via blue light-activated curcumin, but its effect on the biofilm form is weak [17]. Therefore, these investigations suggested the potential usage of curcumin as a natural antibacterial agent and emphasized the necessity of studying how curcumin acts on oral bacteria.

Nanotechnology has wide application in all research fields [18-21]. In biomedicine, the development of drug delivery through nanoparticle systems has led to a significant increase in technological innovations [22, 23]. Nanomedicine has opened new opportunities for the modern medicine. Increasing the bioavailability of active substances is one of the advantages of pharmaceutical nanoformulations [24, 25].

Nanotechnology offers innovative methods for the prevention, treatment, and diagnosis of dental diseases [26-28]. This novel field, known as nano dentistry, involves the usage of nanomaterials, nanorobots, and nano-technology-based methods to improve dental care [29-31].

The present study was conducted to investigate the antibacterial effect and biofilm inhibition of curcumin nanocrystals against S. mutans. In addition, the curcumin nanocrystals' effect on S. mutans adhesion to surfaces was investigated.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Prepare Curcumin Nanocrystals

Curcumin nanocrystals were produced in our previous study through evaporative precipitation along with spraydrying methods [32]. Curcumin was first dissolved in ethanol and then hexane was added rapidly to it, and the ratio of ethanol to hexane was 1/30 by volume. Curcumin nanocrystals were obtained by evaporating the solvent through a rotary evaporator. The spray drying was performed with inlet and outlet temperatures of 150°C and 80°C, respectively, and at a liquid feed rate of 1.5 mL/min. The prepared nanocrystals had an average particle size of 95 nm and had a small spherical and uniform shape [32].

2.2. The Antibacterial Activity

In the present study, *S. mutans* was isolated from Tabriz, Iran, in 2020. The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was determined to evaluate the antibacterial effect of curcumin nanocrystals and bulk curcumin *via* the broth microdilution method in Cation-Adjusted Mueller-Hinton Broth (CAMHB) [33]. MIC was considered bacterial growth inhibition by the lowest concentration of the compound, which was identified by the naked eye.

2.3. Biofilm Inhibitory Effect

The Minimum Biofilm Inhibitory Concentration (MBIC) was used to determine the anti-biofilm effect of curcumin nanocrystals and bulk curcumin. Firstly, bacterial suspension (0.5 McFarland) was prepared, and 100 μ L was added to 100 μ L of TSB in microplate wells. For stimulation of the bacterial biofilm generation, 0.25% glucose was added to TSB and incubated at 35 °C for 24 hours. Then, the serial concentrations of bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals were added, and the microplate was incubated at 35 °C for 20 hours. Then, the well's content was removed, rinsed with sterile water, and shaken. Finally, the OD of the wells was evaluated before and after incubation at 35 °C for 6 hours at a wavelength of 650 nm.

The MBIC was evaluated as the lowest compound level which lid in an OD 650 change at or less than 10% of the mean OD of positive control wells.

2.4. Bacterial Attachment

Sub-inhibitory concentration (0.5 MIC) of curcumin nanocrystals and bulk curcumin were used to evaluate the effect of curcumin nanocrystals and bulk curcumin on the development of biofilm. TSB medium (1:20) with and without curcumin nanocrystals and bulk curcumin were utilized for the dilute bacteria that grew overnight. Then, the suspension was poured into the wells, and the microplate was incubated for 24 hours at 35°C. After removing the contents of the wells, the biofilms were rinsed with water. Methanol was used for the fixation of biofilms for 5 minutes. After drying, crystal violet solution was used, staining for 15 minutes. After washing, the acetic acid (33%) was used to dissolve biofilms. Lastly, the wells' OD was read at wavelength 492 nm through a plate reader. TSB without bacteria was considered a negative control.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The t-test was used in SPSS software version 20 to analyze the results, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

Curcumin displayed a significant antibacterial effect on *S. mutans*. The Curcumin nanocrystals inhibited *S. mutans* significantly more than bulk curcumin (p<0.05) (Fig. 1). The MICs spectrum of curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals was 256-512 µg/mL and 125-256 µg/mL, respectively.

In this study, both bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals inhibited the formation of *S. mutans* biofilm (p<0.05) (Fig. 2).

The adhesion of bacterial cells to surfaces was studied to evaluate the effect of curcumin nanocrystals at sub-MIC levels (0.5 MIC). After 12 hours of incubation in sub-MIC levels of curcumin nanocrystals, bacterial adhesion was significantly reduced compared to bulk curcumin and control (p<0.05) (grown of bacteria without curcumin nanocrystals) (Fig. 3).

4. DISCUSSION

It has been shown that nanoparticles may affect bacteria because of two probable mechanisms: (a) nano-

particles may combine with the surface of bacteria and enhance the permeability of bacterial cells, and (b) they may also be absorbed and penetrate the bacterial cell wall and act as a depot for the release of antibacterial drugs [34]. Depending on the size of nanoparticles and bacterial type, nanoparticles apply their antibacterial properties on bacteria with different mechanisms, and the mechanisms are different from those reported for the common antibiotics. For this purpose, using nanoparticles with antimicrobial effects is a way to overcome the microbial resistance of antibiotics [35]. Membrane-nanoparticle interaction creates local pores in the membrane, allowing nanoparticles to enter the bacteria and interact with DNA and intracellular proteins (especially sulfur-rich protein). They damage the bacteria to a great extent. Another possible antibacterial mechanism includes the attachment of nanoparticles to the bacterial membrane and their ongoing entrance into the bacterial cytoplasm and interruption of its function. Some pharmaceutical nanoformulations can also combine with the cell walls of bacteria and inject their antibacterial substances into the bacteria [36, 35].

Nanoformulations of curcumin have improved its antimicrobial effects against different microbes, such as *S. aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Aspergillus*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and *B. cereus* [37, 38].



MIC

Fig. (1). The inhibitory effects of curcumin nanocrystals on *S. mutans* compared with curcumin. Note: (*) indicates a statistically significant ($p \le 0.05$).



Fig. (2). The biofilm inhibitory action of curcumin nanocrystals on *S. mutans* compared with bulk curcumin. Note: An asterisk (*) shows a statistically significant difference ($p \le 0.05$).



Fig. (3). Effect of bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals on the adhesion of *S. mutans* to plastic surfaces. Bacteria growing without any treatment was considered control.

Note: (*) compared with control, (**) compared with bulk curcumin ($p \le 0.05$).

Negahdari *et al.* prepared and studied the antibacterial effect of nanocrystals of curcumin against *S. aureus, E. coli*, and *Enterococcus faecalis* inside the implant fixture. Their results displayed that bacterial Colony-Forming Unit (CFU) in exposure to curcumin nanocrystals significantly decreased (p < 0.01) by the incubation time [32]. Maleki Dizaj *et al.* investigated the antimicrobial effects of nanocrystals of curcumin against *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, which was isolated from patients with dental implant failure. Curcumin nanocrystals had MBC of 12.5 µg/mL and MIC of 6.25 µg/mL against *P. gingivalis* [39].

Antibiotics are generally effective against bacterial planktonic form but may not be efficient against bacterial biofilm form [40]. Suitable drug delivery systems for antimicrobial agents can be useful in infection treatments caused by the biofilm form of bacteria.

Biofilms removal is difficult and they are resistant to most antimicrobial agents. Sometimes, the elimination of infected tissue or apparatus is required, which is undesirable and sometimes impossible [41]. Therefore, biofilm elimination may need the usage of new compounds or formulations that increase the sensitivity of biofilms to antibacterial agents [42]. Nanotechnology offers new and innovative solutions for antibacterial drug delivery and biofilm disruption and offers new possibilities for preventive/therapeutic approaches for biofilm-associated oral diseases [43-45]. In this study, the results showed both bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals inhibited the formation of S. mutans biofilm (p < 0.05). However, more in vitro and in vivo studies are suggested for further investigation of the anti-biofilm effect of the curcumin nanocrystals.

Adhesion is a critical factor in the colonization of bacteria on various surfaces. The results of this study displayed that curcumin nanocrystals decreased bacterial adhesion at sub-MIC levels in *S. mutans* (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION

In the present study, results showed that curcumin nanocrystals have more antibacterial action compared to bulk curcumin. Furthermore, bulk curcumin and curcumin nanocrystals display biofilm inhibitory action. Curcumin nanocrystals decreased the bacterial attachment at sub-MIC levels.

This study demonstrated the antimicrobial effects of curcumin nanocrystals *in vitro*. However, clinical translation may be challenged by issues such as nanoparticle toxicity, stability in oral environments, and scalability of production processes. Further studies are needed to ensure biocompatibility and safe use in humans. Therefore, the properties of nanoparticles, toxicity, biocompatibility, and other factors should be considered during clinical trials to ensure its performance as an antibacterial and antibiofilm agent with minimal tolerable side effects.

Furthermore, these results may be useful for the application of curcumin nanocrystals in oral and dental products such as mouthwashes and toothpaste to prevent

dental plaque. Further research is required to determine the stability of curcumin nanocrystals in formulation with other dental product ingredients and to assess their safety and efficacy in human clinical trials. *In vivo* and clinical surveys on the biological actions of curcumin nanocrystals are essential for mentioned applications.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

The authors confirm their contribution to the paper: S.S. and S.M.D.: Study conception and design; M.Y.M.: Data collection; M.Y.M., S.S. and S.M.D.: Analysis and interpretation of results; M.Y.M., M.Y., Y.R., and M.N.: Draft manuscript. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CAMHB = Cation-Adjusted Mueller-Hinton Broth

- CFU = Colony-Forming Unit
- EPS = Extracellular Polysaccharide
- Gtfs = Glycosyltransferases
- MBIC = Minimum Biofilm Inhibitory Concentration
- MIC = Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of the article will be available from the corresponding author [S.S] upon reasonable request.

FUNDING

This article was prepared with the financial support of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran from the thesis (No. 73117), and the authors are grateful for their financial support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bowen WH, Koo H. Biology of *Streptococcus mutans*-derived glucosyltransferases: Role in extracellular matrix formation of cariogenic biofilms. Caries Res 2011; 45(1): 69-86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000324598 PMID: 21346355
- [2] Smith EG, Spatafora GA. Gene regulation in S. mutans: Complex control in a complex environment. J Dent Res 2012; 91(2): 133-41.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022034511415415 PMID: 21743034

- [3] Krzyściak W, Jurczak A, Kościelniak D, Bystrowska B, Skalniak A. The virulence of *Streptococcus mutans* and the ability to form biofilms. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014; 33(4): 499-515. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-013-1993-7 PMID: 24154653
- [4] Merritt J, Kreth J, Qi F, Sullivan R, Shi W. Non-disruptive, realtime analyses of the metabolic status and viability of *Streptococcus mutans* cells in response to antimicrobial treatments. J Microbiol Methods 2005; 61(2): 161-70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2004.11.012 PMID: 15722141
- [5] Huang R, Li M, Gregory RL. Bacterial interactions in dental biofilm. Virulence 2011; 2(5): 435-44.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/viru.2.5.16140 PMID: 21778817
 [6] Utsumi R. Bacterial signal transduction: Networks and drug targets. Springer Science & Business Media 2008. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78885-2
- [7] Koo H, Bowen WH. Candida albicans and Streptococcus mutans: A potential synergistic alliance to cause virulent tooth decay in children. Taylor & Francis 2014; pp. 1295-7.
- [8] Hamada S, Koga T, Ooshima T. Virulence factors of Streptococcus mutans and dental caries prevention. J Dent Res 1984; 63(3): 407-11.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/00220345840630031001 PMID: 6230378

- [9] Jeon JG, Rosalen PL, Falsetta ML, Koo H. Natural products in caries research: Current (limited) knowledge, challenges and future perspective. Caries Res 2011; 45(3): 243-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000327250 PMID: 21576957
- [10] Hajibonabi A, Yekani M, Sharifi S, Nahad JS, Dizaj SM, Memar MY. Antimicrobial activity of nanoformulations of carvacrol and thymol: New trend and applications. OpenNano 2023; 13: 100170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.onano.2023.100170
- [11] Teow SY, Liew K, Ali SA, Khoo ASB, Peh SC. Antibacterial action of curcumin against *Staphylococcus aureus*: A brief review. J Trop Med 2016; 2016(1): 1-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2853045 PMID: 27956904

[12] Sharifi S, Zaheri Khosroshahi A, Maleki Dizaj S, Rezaei Y. Preparation, physicochemical assessment and the antimicrobial action of hydroxyapatite-gelatin/curcumin nanofibrous composites as a dental biomaterial. Biomimetics 2021; 7(1): 4.

- http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics7010004 PMID: 35076470
 [13] Negahdari R, Ghavimi MA, Barzegar A, et al. Antibacterial effect of nanocurcumin inside the implant fixture: An *in vitro* study. Clin Exp Dent Res 2021; 7(2): 163-9.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cre2.348 PMID: 33210463
- [14] Song J, Choi B, Jin EJ, Yoon Y, Choi KH. Curcumin suppresses Streptococcus mutans adherence to human tooth surfaces and extracellular matrix proteins. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 31(7): 1347-52.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-011-1448-y PMID: 22009290

- [15] Li B, Li X, Lin H, Zhou Y. Curcumin as a promising antibacterial agent: effects on metabolism and biofilm formation in S. mutans. BioMed Res Int 2018; 2018(1): 1-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/4508709 PMID: 29682545
- [16] Hu P, Huang P, Chen MW. Curcumin reduces Streptococcus mutans biofilm formation by inhibiting sortase A activity. Arch Oral Biol 2013; 58(10): 1343-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2013.05.004 PMID: 23778072
- [17] Hu P, Huang P, Chen WM. Curcumin inhibits the Sortase A activity of the Streptococcus mutans UA159. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2013; 171(2): 396-402. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12010-013-0378-9 PMID: 23842671
- [18] Lohani A, Verma A, Joshi H, Yadav N, Karki N. Nanotechnologybased cosmeceuticals. ISRN Dermatol 2014; 2014: 1-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/843687 PMID: 24963412
- [19] Shen Y, Zhang Y, Gao ZF, et al. Recent advances in nanotechnology for simultaneous detection of multiple pathogenic bacteria. Nano Today 2021; 38: 101121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2021.101121

- [20] Dalir Abdolahinia E, Barati G, Ranjbar-Navazi Z, et al. Application of nanogels as drug delivery systems in multicellular spheroid tumor model. J Drug Deliv Sci Technol 2022; 68: 103109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2022.103109
- [21] Hamidi A, Sharifi S, Davaran S, Ghasemi S, Omidi Y, Rashidi M-R. Novel aldehyde-terminated dendrimers; Synthesis and cytotoxicity assay. Bioimpacts 2012; 2(2): 97-103. PMID: 23678447
- [22] Maleki Dizaj S, Sharifi S, Ahmadian E, Eftekhari A, Adibkia K, Lotfipour F. An update on calcium carbonate nanoparticles as cancer drug/gene delivery system. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2019; 16(4): 331-45.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17425247.2019.1587408 PMID: 30807242 PMID:

- Maleki Dizaj S, Sharifi S, Jahangiri A. Electrospun nanofibers as versatile platform in antimicrobial delivery: Current state and perspectives. Pharm Dev Technol 2019; 24(10): 1187-99. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10837450.2019.1656238 PMID: 31424308
- [24] Joye IJ, Davidov-Pardo G, McClements DJ. Nanotechnology for increased micronutrient bioavailability. Trends Food Sci Technol 2014; 40(2): 168-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.08.006
- [25] Memar MY, Yekani M, Farajnia S, et al. Antibacterial and biofilminhibitory effects of vancomycin-loaded mesoporous silica nanoparticles on methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus and gram-negative bacteria. Arch Microbiol 2023; 205(4): 109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00203-023-03447-6 PMID: 36884153
- [26] Borzabadi-Farahani A, Borzabadi E, Lynch E. Nanoparticles in orthodontics, a review of antimicrobial and anti-caries applications. Acta Odontol Scand 2014; 72(6): 413-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/00016357.2013.859728 PMID: 24325608
- [27] Aly KHAHMED, Riad MI, Elezz AFABO. Success rate of silver nano-particles in comparison to silver diamine fluoride in management of deep carious lesions: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Braz Dent Sci 2023; 26(4): e3956. http://dx.doi.org/10.4322/bds.2023.e3956
- [28] Neel A, Bozec L, Perez RA, Kim HW, Knowles JC. Nanotechnology in dentistry: Prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. Int J Nanomedicine 2015; 10: 6371-94. http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S86033 PMID: 26504385
- [29] Eslamian L, Borzabadi-Farahani A, Karimi S, Saadat S, Badiee MR. Evaluation of the shear bond strength and antibacterial activity of orthodontic adhesive containing silver nanoparticle, an *in-vitro* study. Nanomaterials 2020; 10(8): 1466. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nano10081466 PMID: 32727028
- [30] Quritum M, Abdella A, Amer H, El Desouky LM, El Tantawi M. Effectiveness of nanosilver fluoride and silver diamine fluoride in arresting early childhood caries: A randomized controlled clinical trial. BMC Oral Health 2024; 24(1): 701. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12903-024-04406-3 PMID: 38890627
- [31] Hamidi-Asl E, Raoof JB, Hejazi MS, et al. A genosensor for point mutation detection of P53 gene PCR product using magnetic particles. Electroanalysis 2015; 27(6): 1378-86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elan.201400660
- [32] Negahdari R, Sharifi S, Ghavimi MA, et al. Curcumin nanocrystals: Production, physicochemical assessment, and in vitro evaluation of the antimicrobial effects against bacterial loading of the implant fixture. Appl Sci 2020; 10(23): 8356. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app10238356
- [33] Weinstein MP. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards 2018.
- [34] Samiei M, Farjami A, Dizaj SM, Lotfipour F. Nanoparticles for antimicrobial purposes in Endodontics: A systematic review of *in* vitro studies. Mater Sci Eng C 2016; 58: 1269-78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.08.070 PMID: 26478430
- [35] Wang L, Hu C, Shao L. The antimicrobial activity of nanoparticles: Present situation and prospects for the future. Int J Nanomedicine

2017; 12: 1227-49.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S121956 PMID: 28243086

- [36] Salatin S, Maleki Dizaj S, Yari Khosroushahi A. Effect of the surface modification, size, and shape on cellular uptake of nanoparticles. Cell Biol Int 2015; 39(8): 881-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbin.10459 PMID: 25790433
- [37] Wang Y, Lu Z, Wu H, Lv F. Study on the antibiotic activity of microcapsule curcumin against foodborne pathogens. Int J Food Microbiol 2009; 136(1): 71-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.09.001 PMID: 19775769
- [38] Bhawana , Basniwal RK, Buttar HS, Jain VK, Jain N. Curcumin nanoparticles: Preparation, characterization, and antimicrobial study. J Agric Food Chem 2011; 59(5): 2056-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf104402t PMID: 21322563
- [39] Maleki Dizaj S, Shokrgozar H, Yazdani J, Memar MY, Sharifi S, Ghavimi MA. Antibacterial effects of curcumin nanocrystals against *Porphyromonas gingivalis* isolated from patients with implant failure. Clin Pract 2022; 12(5): 809-17.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/clinpract12050085 PMID: 36286071 [40] Sun F, Qu F, Ling Y, *et al*. Biofilm-associated infections: Antibiotic
- resistance and novel therapeutic strategies. Future Microbiol

2013; 8(7): 877-86.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fmb.13.58 PMID: 23841634

 [41] Wu H, Moser C, Wang HZ, Høiby N, Song ZJ. Strategies for combating bacterial biofilm infections. Int J Oral Sci 2015; 7(1): 1-7.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ijos.2014.65 PMID: 25504208

- [42] Hwang I, Hwang JH, Choi H, Kim KJ, Lee DG. Synergistic effects between silver nanoparticles and antibiotics and the mechanisms involved. J Med Microbiol 2012; 61(12): 1719-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.047100-0 PMID: 22956753
- [43] Dash A, Ragavendran C. Innovative approaches to combating dental biofilms: Nanotechnology and its applications. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2024; 61: 103406. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2024.103406
- [44] Shetty H, Shukla V, Lokur AS, Chaughule RS. Dental Microbial Biofilms: Control and Treatment Through Nanotechnology Approaches, Applications of Nanotechnology in Microbiology. Springer 2024; pp. 229-70.
- [45] Kannan KP, Gunasekaran V, Sreenivasan P, Sathishkumar P. Recent updates and feasibility of nanodrugs in the prevention and eradication of dental biofilm and its associated pathogens—A review. J Dent 2024; 143: 104888.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2024.104888 PMID: 38342369