The Open Dentistry Journal

DOI: 10.2174/0118742106323152240718050753, 2024, 18, e18742106323152

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Patient Perception of Patient-centeredness in Orthodontic Consultation of Patients from Saudi Arabia

Nawaf H. Al Shammary^{1,*}

¹Department of Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry, University of Ha'il, P.O.Box 2440 Ha'il, 81481, Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Background: Patient-centered care involves respecting patients' dignity and actively including them in decisionmaking processes. However, its assessment remains quite challenging. This study aimed to assess patients' perception of patient-centered care in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning in Saudi Arabia.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 430 patients seeking orthodontic care at different government and private clinics in Saudi Arabia. An online Revised Patient Perception of Patient-Centeredness (PPPC-R) questionnaire that consisted of 18 questions was filled in by the patient immediately after the initial or treatment planning consultation with an orthodontist and asked to rate the scores from 1 to 4. The final PPPC-R scores were calculated and compared between gender and age groups (adolescents and adults).

Results: A total of 270 responses were obtained with a response rate of 62.79%, and all responses were complete. The mean age of participants was 22.53 years (range 18 to 65 years), and among them, 132 were male. The average score for the questions ranged from 2.26 to 3.58, and the Mann-Whitney test showed no significant differences in the scores between gender (Z = -0.897, p-value 0.370) and age group (Z = -0.092, p-value 0.927). Similar insignificant differences were noted between gender and age group when compared at the level of three factors from the questionnaire.

Conclusion: Patients who are scheduled for orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning perceived a high level of patient-centeredness. There were no significant differences in the PPPC-R scores between the age group and gender of the participants when measured across each factor level and in total.

Keywords: Orthodontics, Patient-centered care, Perception, Patient perception of patient-centeredness questionnaire, Saudi Arabia, Consultation.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry, University of Ha'il, P.O.Box 2440 Ha'il, 81481, Saudi Arabia; E-mail: nh.alshammary@uoh.edu.sa

Cite as: Al Shammary N. Patient Perception of Patient-centeredness in Orthodontic Consultation of Patients from Saudi Arabia. Open Dent J, 2024; 18: e18742106323152. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118742106323152240718050753

1. INTRODUCTION

Patient-centered Care (PPC) in a healthcare setting can be defined as treating patients (and/or parents) with dignity and involving them in the process of clinical decision-making, considering their preferences, values, and needs [1]. PCC gives high priority to individual patient needs, preferences, and values by involving them in healthcare decisions and considering their perspectives on the healthcare process. It emphasizes making patient comprehend their condition, available treatment options, and possible outcomes with each plan, which enables them to make informed decisions about their health. PCC further provides an opportunity for patients to recognize



Received: April 25, 2024 Revised: June 26, 2024 Accepted: July 05, 2024 Published: July 19, 2024



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net



OPEN ACCESS

their specific needs, preferences, and desires, acknowledging the uniqueness of each individual to facilitate individualized care [2]. However, PCC is poorly understood and practiced in the clinical medical field [3].

The major philosophy of PCC is the recognition of a patient as a person instead of a cluster of diseases [4]. The fundamental concept of PCC in treatment includes a collaborative partnership between the provider and consumer of the healthcare services that considers the emotional, mental, spiritual, and financial perspectives of patients besides the routine clinical perspective [5]. This underscores the importance of understanding patients' feelings, establishing trust, and empowering the relationship between the patient and the healthcare provider. Moving ahead with patients' beliefs and values is one of the principles of PCC.

PCC delivers holistic care, providing full autonomy to patients in contrast to the traditional paternalistic approach, and empowers healthcare professionals to deliver their service in the most effective way [6]. This leads to engaging patients in collaboration with mutual respect for each other and shared decision-making for every problem a patient presents to the healthcare provider. This approach has been found to provide greater satisfaction to healthcare workers and reduce the number of medical litigations [7]. PCC is quite relevant and practical in all types of clinical practice regardless of geographic and cultural differences [8, 9]. It is a widely accepted model in the healthcare delivery system and has been adopted by most countries across the globe [10].

PCC has now been introduced and practiced in the context of dentistry and its subspecialties [11]. Many people feel anxious about dental treatment [12], and PCC can play a significant role in mitigating the fear and anxiety among them, along with providing higher-quality treatment [13]. Most dental treatments require monitoring or maintenance or periodic adjustments over a long duration of time, during which a long-term relationship is built between the patient and the clinician, which should be based on trust and respect [14]. Patients trust their clinicians, relying on their opinion on the management of the disease condition based on their clinical experience and expertise. Similarly, patients expect some degree of respect toward them in the form of autonomy, choices, empathy, and professionalism [15]. PCC has been reported to have an improved dental experience and better health outcomes [16].

The UK General Dental Council (GDC) has set standards of care that all dentists should follow, and the basic principles of PCC are included [17]. Different models of PCC have been introduced in dentistry and developed based on patients' and dentists' perspectives, a review of the literature, and interviews with the stakeholders [18]. There is no doubt regarding the benefits of incorporating PCC in routine dental care. However, assessment of its quality remains a challenge. To address this, patientreported measures are developed that can reflect the quality of care and guide the aspects for improvement [19]. There are limited studies investigating PCC in clinical orthodontics. An online survey was conducted among British orthodontists regarding the knowledge of shared decision-making, and the majority of them were found to have a good understanding. However, they were eager to receive more training in this context [20]. Amin *et al.* compared the perception of patients and orthodontists after initial consultation or treatment planning consultations and found high scores in patient-centeredness, although there were statistically significant differences between the scores reported by patients and clinicians [21]. Madhan *et al.* found that the attitudes of Indian orthodontic postgraduate students gradually changed to more patient-centric as they progressed through their academic courses [22].

Assessing patients' perceptions is integral to providing quality healthcare, and this offers true feedback that indicates the areas that are satisfactory and areas that need immediate attention. This feedback process plays a vital role in transforming the healthcare delivery process, providing maximum satisfaction to the consumers and enhancing the overall quality of care [23]. There are no such studies in the context of Saudi Arabia that assess the perception of patient-centered care in orthodontics. Hence, this study was planned to assess the patient's perception of patient-centered care in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning in Saudi Arabia. The secondary objective was to find out any differences in perception towards patient-centered care between different age groups and genders. The null hypotheses were:

1. There were no differences in the perception (measured with the PPPC-R questionnaire) between age groups and gender.

2. There were no differences in the perception between age groups and gender when measured across the three factors of the questionnaire.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a descriptive cross-sectional study carried out from October 2023 to Feb 2024 after ethical approval from the Research Ethics Standing Committee of the University of Hail (H-2023-393). A total of 430 participants were approached from different government hospitals and private clinics.

A recent study that used revised Patient Perception of Patient-Centeredness in pregnant women found a mean total score of 62.2 (SD = 10.5) for all 18 items in the tool [24]. Considering the margin of error to be 1.3 of the mean at a 95% Confidence Interval, the required sample size would be:

$$N = \frac{Z^2 \cdot \sigma^2}{E^2}$$
$$N = \frac{1.96^2 \cdot 10.5^2}{(1.3)^2}$$
$$N \approx 251$$

Considering a 40% non-response rate, the final sample size will be approximately 420.

A validated tool to assess patients' perception, the "Revised Patient Perception of Patient-Centeredness (PPPC-R)" questionnaire devised by Ryan *et al.* [25] was used in this study after seeking permission from the original developers. This questionnaire consisted of 3 factors with 18 questions that record patients' reflections after an encounter with a clinician. The factors were "Healthcare Process," "Roles," and "Context and relationship," with 8, 2, and 8 questions in them, respectively. This was the modification of the original 9-item Patient Perception of Patient Centeredness question [26]. A Google Form was created to record responses from the participants.

The study participants consisted of 18-year-old or older patients seeking orthodontic care at different government and private clinics in the cities of Hail, Riyadh, Madinah, and Jeddah in Saudi Arabia. Informed consent was obtained from them prior to enrollment, and they were briefed on the questionnaire. Subsequently, they were asked to fill out the questionnaire after the initial consultation or treatment planning consultation with an orthodontist. For each item, there were four possible responses on a scale from 1 to 4, out of which one should be ticked. Score 4 indicated the most patient-centeredness, and score 1, the least.

The information obtained from this online questionnaire was obtained in the Excel sheet and this was subjected to further analysis with SPSS version 25 to explore the perception of patient-centeredness. The total score for each patient was obtained by adding individual scores from the 18 questions. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the scores in total and also at different factor levels between the gender and the age group.

3. RESULTS

A total of 270 responses were obtained when 430 participants were approached, securing a response rate of 62.79%. Among the responses received, all forms were completely filled and included in the analysis. One hundred and thirty-eight (51.1%) respondents in this study were female and 132 (48.9%) were male. The mean age of the participants was 22.53 years (Standard deviation 5.67 years) with a range from 18 years to 65 years. Most of the respondents (70.7%) were adults (\geq 20 years), and adolescents comprised the remaining 29.3% (Table 1).

The mean scores of the questions ranged from 2.26 to 3.58 (Table 2). The distribution of each score across the questions shows that the majority of scores were 4 (Fig. 1). The lowest score was obtained for Q1 and the maximum for Q14. Similarly, mean scores across each factor were also calculated (Table 3).

The distribution of the mean scores at the level of three factors and in total were found to be non-normally distributed as demonstrated by Kolmogorov Smirnov test (p <0.001) and Shapiro Wilk test (p < 0.001). Hence, non-parametric tests were used to compare the scores across genders and age groups.

-		Age Group		
		Adolescents (<20 years)	Adults (≥20 years)	Total
Condon	Female	43	95	138
Genuer	Male	36	96	132
Total	-	79	191	270

Table 1. Demographic distribution of the study participants.

Table 2. Mean	standard deviation.	, median and intero	ruartile range	of the sco	res for each o	ruestion
	Souther a do had so had			01 0110 000		

S.No.	-	Question		SD	Median	Interquartile Range
1		To what extent was your main problem(s) discussed today?	2.26	0.602	2	2 to 3
2		How well do you think your provider understood you today?	3.54	0.660	4	3 to 4
3		How satisfied were you with the discussion of your problem today?	3.43	0.673	4	3 to 4
4	To what extent did your provider explain this problem to you?		3.50	0.678	4	3 to 4
5	process	To what extent did you agree with your provider's opinion about the problem?	3.50	0.672	4	3 to 4
6	1	To what extent did your provider ask about your goals for treatment?		0.683	4	3 to 4
7	To what extent did your provider explain the treatment?		3.50	0.710	4	3 to 4
8		To what extent did your provider explore how manageable this treatment would be for you?	3.46	0.703	4	3 to 4
9		To what extent did you and your provider discuss your respective roles?	3.45	0.681	4	3 to 4
10	10 Roles	To what extent did your provider encourage you to take the role you wanted in your own care?	3.51	0.683	4	3 to 4

4 The Open Dentistry Journal, 2024, Vol. 18

(Table 2) contd								
S.No.	-	Question	Mean Score	SD	Median	Interquartile Range		
11		How much would you say that this provider cares about you as a person?	3.50	0.720	4	3 to 4		
12	2 3	To what extent does your provider know about your family life?	2.70	1.088	3	2 to 4		
13		How comfortable are you discussing personal problems related to your health with your provider?	3.31	0.812	4	3 to 4		
14	Context and	To what extent does your provider respect your beliefs, values, and customs?	3.58	0.662	4	3 to 4		
15	relationship	To what extent does your provider consider your thoughts and feelings?	3.34	0.764	4	3 to 4		
16		To what extent does your provider show you compassion?	3.23	0.861	3	3 to 4		
17		To what extent does your provider really listen to you?	3.44	0.767	4	3 to 4		
18		To what extent do you trust your provider?	3.44	0.728	4	3 to 4		



Fig. (1). Distribution of scores for each question.

Table 3. Participants score across different factors.

Participant Groups	Factor 1 Mean Healthcare Process Score (Q1 to Q8)	Factor 2 Mean Roles Score (Q9 to Q10)	Factor 3 Mean Context and Relationship Score (Q11 to Q18)	Total Mean Score (Q1 to Q18)
Adolescent	-	-	-	-
Female	27.14	7.07	26.12	60.33
Male	26.44	7.06	26.89	60.39
Subtotal	26.82	7.06	26.47	60.35
Adult	-	-	-	-
Female	26.44	6.83	26.21	59.48
Male	26.75	7.01	26.92	60.68
Sub total	26.60	6.92	26.57	60.08
Grand Total	26.66	6.96	26.54	60.16

Table 4. Summary of differences in scores between males and females.

Scores	Mean Rank Male	Mean Rank Female	U	Z	p-value
Factor 1 Score	137.18	133.89	9330	0.349	0.727
Factor 2 Score	138.27	132.85	9473.5	0.616	0.538
Factor 3 Score	142.28	128.81	10003.5	1.407	0.159
Total Score	139.85	131.34	9682.5	0.897	0.370

1		
L		

Scores	Mean Rank Adolescent	Mean Rank Adult	U	Z	p-value
Factor 1 Score	138.40	134.30	7315.5	-0.395	0.693
Factor 2 Score	142.67	132.53	6978	-1.048	0.295
Factor 3 Score	134.36	135.97	7634.5	0.155	0.877
Total Score	136.18	135.22	7491	-0.092	0.927

Table 5. Summary of differences in scores between adolescents (<20 years) and adults (>= 20 years).

A Mann-Whitney U test was performed to evaluate the difference in the scores between genders at both the factor levels and in total. The results indicated that gender did not influence the scores either at the factor level or in total (Table 4).

Similarly, no significant difference was noted in the scores at both the factor levels and in total when compared between the age groups, as demonstrated by the Mann-Whitney U test (Table 5).

4. DISCUSSION

This study was an online survey aimed to explore the patient's perception of patient-centered care in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning within the context of Saudi Arabia. The comprehensive analysis of the responses from this questionnaire revealed an overall mean score of PPPC-R to be satisfactory, indicating alignment with the principles of patient-centered care. Moreover, this study did not find any statistically significant differences in the perception of patientcenteredness between gender or age groups, and the null hypothesis 1 could not be rejected. Similarly, when evaluated at the level of three factors in this guestionnaire, no significant differences were found between gender and age group. Thus, the null hypothesis 2 also could not be rejected. This suggested equitable distribution of patient-centric ideals across orthodontic patients with diverse demographics.

The response rate to the online questionnaire in this study was 62.79%, and all responses were complete. Our study used a validated tool consisting of 18 short questions, and all the questions were made mandatory in the Google form. Similarly, there was a reminder for incomplete submission, and the subject were directed to the question that required a response. This might be the main reason for a complete response from the respondents. Typically, surveys assessing patient satisfaction often report a low response rate, with figures as low as 30% being reasonable and rates surpassing 80% considered remarkable [27]. A high non-response may indicate dissatisfaction among patients as they are less likely to respond to the guestionnaire. Incomplete responses could stem from various factors, such as dissatisfaction, ambiguity in guestions, or lengthy questions. Additionally, online surveys often produce low responses compared to face-to-face interviews. In a study using an online PPPC-R questionnaire for pregnant ladies, a response rate of 75.3% was obtained with few incomplete responses [24].

In this survey, the PPPC-R questionnaire was used as a

tool to measure the patient's perception of patientcenteredness after initial consultation appointments for orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. Houden et al. conducted a systematic review of the instruments to assess patient-centered care. They found that the Patient Perception of Patient Patient-Centeredness (PPPC) and Consultation Care Measure (CCM) questionnaires were specifically designed for this purpose, offering accurate measurement of health outcomes in the short term [28]. Acknowledging the importance of patients' insight into patient-centeredness, PPPC was revised by Ryan et al. to record a broader spectrum of participant experiences. The revision expanded the original set of 14 questions to a more comprehensive set of 18 questions and included an additional component of enhancing the patient-clinician relationship, which explores the dynamics of current healthcare delivery [25]. Citing the value of this questionnaire, the original English version of this questionnaire has been translated into different languages [29] and contexts [30-32].

The age of the samples in this study ranged from 18 to 65 years, indicating a wide patient population representing diverse life stages. To facilitate data analysis and interpretation, the participants were divided into two distinct groups: adolescents (under 20 years) and adults (20 years or older). This categorization aligns with the established and well-accepted conventions in the field of orthodontics, as mentioned by Proffit *et al.* [33]. Similar criteria for separating adolescents from the rest of the population are also done by King and Vallis [24]. By following consistent division of different age groups, coherence in methodologies was ensured to facilitate easy comparison between the results from other studies.

The first eight questions comprised factor 1 which explored the patient's chief complaint and disease experiences. For all these eight questions, the mean scores were greater than 3, except for the first one, where the average score was 2.26. The first question was about the discussion of the main problem presented by the patient. Several factors may account for this low score. Limited consultation time, use of medical terminologies beyond the patient's comprehension, and lack of empathy from orthodontists may make patients feel that their main issues are not acknowledged. Additionally, a comprehensive examination of all components of oral and facial structures may have inadvertently led the patient to feel that their chief problems were not given much importance.

The next two questions discussed the roles that the patient and clinician play in the treatment and comprised factor 2. In our study, the scores for these questions were

3.45 and 3.51. In the study by Amin *et al.* using the PPPC questionnaire, the question discussing this role received the highest non-response rate and lowest score [21]. The final eight questions of factor 3 were related to understanding the patient and enhancing the relationship. The mean scores for all questions surpassed 3, except for Q12, which was 2.5. This particular question aimed to assess the extent to which the clinician is acquainted with the patient's family life. The low score for this question is quite understandable given the nature of orthodontic practice, and it is not essential to explore the family life of the patient.

Overall, the participants of this study highly rated their clinicians with the PPPC-R questionnaire, and this aligns closely with the findings reported from similar studies [24, 32]. On further analysis, no significant correlation was found with the gender and age group of the patient. Similar to our research, using the PPPC-R questionnaire, King and Vallis found an average score of 62.2 from the patients, which did not significantly differ with the age, education, or race of the patients [24]. Similarly, in the study by Amin *et al.* that aimed to assess patient-centered care in orthodontics, the scores of the PPPC questionnaire did not vary significantly with the demographic details of the patient [21].

The study had a few limitations that warrant acknowledgment. Using a close-ended questionnaire constrains patients from expressing their feelings within the given set of predefined options, potentially neglecting their views and emotions. The study was confined to an urban setting within Saudia Arabia at Hail, Riyadh, Madinah, and Jeddah and didnot represent the reflections of the whole orthodontic population of Saudia Arabia. The long-term nature of the orthodontic treatment and regular interaction with patients can maintain a good relationship over a period of time. However, this study considered patients' perceptions only at the initial case discussion appointment, and this may not reflect the level of care they received over the course of the treatment. Despite these limitations, to our knowledge, this was the first survey to explore patient perception of patientcenteredness in orthodontic patients in Saudi Arabia and thus adds meaningful information to the existing literature. Exploring similar perceptions of the treating clinician and comparing them with the patient's perception will provide useful insight for comparison and can be the direction for future research.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the study, it can be concluded that:

• There was a high level of patient-centeredness as perceived by patients scheduled for orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning.

• The scores for PPPC-R did not significantly vary with the age group and gender of the patient.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

NHDAS: Conceptualization, Design, Data acquisition,

Analysis, Interpretation, Drafting the final manuscript, Approval of the final version

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PPC = Patient Centered Care

- PPPC = Patient Perception of Patient-Centeredness
- PPPC-R = Revised Patient Perception of Patient-Centeredness

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Standing Committee of the University of Hail (H-2023-393).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of institutional and/or research committees and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data and supportive information are available within the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Carroll JG. Crossing the quality chasm: A new health system for the 21st century. Qual Manag Health Care 2002; 10(4): 60-1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00019514-200210040-00010
- [2] Epstein RM, Street RL Jr. The values and value of patientcentered care. Ann Fam Med 2011; 9(2): 100-3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1370/afm.1239 PMID: 21403134
- [3] Stewart M. Towards a global definition of patient centred care: the patient should be the judge of patient centred care. Br Med J 2001; 322: 444-5.
- [4] Epstein RM. The science of patient-centered care. J Fam Pract 2000; 49(9): 805-7. PMID: 11032204
- [5] Catalyst N. What is patient-centered care? NEJM Catal 2017; 3(1)
- [6] Jo Delaney L. Patient-centred care as an approach to improving health care in Australia. Collegian 2018; 25(1): 119-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colegn.2017.02.005
- [7] Bertakis KD, Azari R. Patient-centered care is associated with decreased health care utilization. J Am Board Fam Med 2011;

24(3): 229-39.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2011.03.100170 PMID: 21551394

- [8] Saha S, Beach MC, Cooper LA. Patient centeredness, cultural competence and healthcare quality. J Natl Med Assoc 2008; 100(11): 1275-85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0027-9684(15)31505-4 PMID: 19024223
- [9] Gachoud D, Albert M, Kuper A, Stroud L, Reeves S. Meanings and perceptions of patient-centeredness in social work, nursing and medicine: A comparative study. J Interprof Care 2012; 26(6): 484-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13561820.2012.717553 PMID:

22928972

- [10] Pelzang R. Time to learn: understanding patient-centred care. Br J Nurs 2010; 19(14): 912-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2010.19.14.49050 PMID: 20647984
- [11] Böhme Kristensen C, Asimakopoulou K, Scambler S. Enhancing patient-centred care in dentistry: A narrative review. Br Med Bull 2023; 148(1): 79-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldad026 PMID: 37838360
- [12] Oosterink FMD, De Jongh A, Hoogstraten J. Prevalence of dental fear and phobia relative to other fear and phobia subtypes. Eur J Oral Sci 2009; 117(2): 135-43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2008.00602.x PMID: 19320722

[13] Bernson JM, Hallberg LRM, Elfström ML, Hakeberg M. 'Making dental care possible - a mutual affair'. A grounded theory relating to adult patients with dental fear and regular dental treatment. Eur J Oral Sci 2011; 119(5): 373-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2011.00845.x PMID: 21896054

[14] Sbaraini A, Carter SM, Evans RW, Blinkhorn A. Experiences of dental care: What do patients value? BMC Health Serv Res 2012; 12(1): 177.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-177 PMID: 22726888

- [15] Taibah S. Dental professionalism and influencing factors: patients' perception. Patient Prefer Adherence 2018; 12: 1649-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S172788 PMID: 30214167
- [16] Rathert C, Wyrwich MD, Boren SA. Patient-centered care and outcomes: A systematic review of the literature. Med Care Res Rev 2013; 70(4): 351-79.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1077558712465774 PMID: 23169897 [17] General Dental Council Standard Guidance. London: GDC. 2018. Available from: https://www.gdc-uk.org/standards-guidance/standards-and-guidan
- [18] Alrawiai S, Asimakopoulou K, Scambler S. Patient-Centred Care in Dentistry: Definitions and Models - Commentary. Eur J Dent Educ 2021; 25(3): 637-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eje.12629 PMID: 33180971

- [19] Tzelepis F, Rose SK, Sanson-Fisher RW, Clinton-McHarg T, Carey ML, Paul CL. Are we missing the Institute of Medicine's mark? A systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures assessing quality of patient-centred cancer care. BMC Cancer 2014; 14(1): 41.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-41 PMID: 24460829 [20] Barber SK, Ryan F, Cunningham SJ. Knowledge of, and attitudes

to, shared decision-making in orthodontics in the UK. J Orthod 2020; 47(4): 294-302.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1465312520941526 PMID: 32693666

[21] Amin N, Cunningham SJ, Jones EM, Ryan FS. Investigating perceptions of patient-centred care in orthodontics. J Orthod 2020; 47(4): 320-9.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1465312520952802 PMID: 32933364

- [22] Madhan B, Rajpurohit AS, Gayathri H. Attitudes of postgraduate orthodontic students in India towards patient-centered care. J Dent Educ 2011; 75(1): 107-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2011.75.1.tb05029.x PMID: 21205735
- [23] Greenhalgh J. The applications of PROs in clinical practice: what are they, do they work, and why? Qual Life Res 2009; 18(1): 115-23.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11136-008-9430-6 PMID: 19105048

- [24] King A, Piccinini-Vallis H. Patient-perceived patient-centeredness during pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2023; 45(11)102194 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jogc.2023.102194 PMID: 37625642
- [25] Ryan BL, Brown JB, Tremblay PF, Stewart M. Measuring patients' perceptions of health care encounters: Examining the factor structure of the revised patient perception of patientcenteredness (PPPC-R) questionnaire. J Patient Cent Res Rev 2019; 6(3): 192-202. http://dx.doi.org/10.17294/2330-0698.1696 PMID: 31414031
- [26] Stewart M, Brown JB, Weston W, McWhinney IR, McWilliam CL, Freeman T. Patient-centered medicine: Transforming the clinical method. (3rd Edition.), London: CRC press 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/b20740
- [27] Sitzia J, Wood N. Response rate in patient satisfaction research: an analysis of 210 published studies. Int J Qual Health Care 1998; 10(4): 311-7.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/10.4.311 PMID: 9835247

- [28] Hudon C, Fortin M, Haggerty JL, Lambert M, Poitras ME. Measuring patients' perceptions of patient-centered care: A systematic review of tools for family medicine. Ann Fam Med 2011; 9(2): 155-64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1370/afm.1226 PMID: 21403143
- [29] Günvar T. Basak O. Limnili G. Yurdabakan İ. Güldal D. Validity and reliability of patient perception of patient centeredness scale in Turkish. TJFMPC 2022; 16(2): 286-93. http://dx.doi.org/10.21763/tjfmpc.987732
- [30] Cai Y, Guo P, Tu J, et al. Contextualizing the revised Patient Perception of Patient-Centeredness (PPPC-R) scale in primary healthcare settings: A validity and reliability evaluation study. BMC Prim Care 2024; 25(1): 11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12875-023-02227-x PMID: 38325342
- [31] Wilpers A, White M, Austin MT, et al. Development and validation of a scale to measure person-centered care in fetal care centers. Fetal Diagn Ther 2024; 51(3): 243-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000537691 PMID: 38325342
- [32] Piccinini-Vallis H, Zed J, Easley J. Comparison of patients' perceptions of family physicians' patient-centeredness between virtual and in-person clinical encounters: A cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care 2023; 12(3): 517-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_1511 22 PMID: 37122655
- [33] Proffit WR, Fields H. Contemporary Orthodontics. (6th Edition.),

Elsevier 2012.

7