The Open Dentistry Journal

DOI: 10.2174/0118742106287485240219103815, 2024, 18, e18742106287485

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Treatment of Bisphosphonate-related Osteonecrosis of Jaw (BRONJ) in Rabbit Model: A Proof-of-concept **Animal Study Comparing Angiogenesis Factor Versus Autologous Bone Marrow-derived Osteoblasts** (ABMDO)



¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ²Department of Biomedical Dental Sciences, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

³Department of Preventive Dental Sciences, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Kinadom of Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Pathology, College of Medicine, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Objective: We created Bisphosphonate-related Osteonecrosis of Jaw (BRONJ) in rabbits and treated them with an angiogenesis factor or autologous bone marrow derived osteoblasts (ABMDO) to assess the efficacy of the treatment by Micro-computerized Tomography (M-CT) and histopathology.

Materials and Methods: Thirty female New Zealand rabbits were procured and were divided into three groups of 10 animals each. The number of animals to achieve statistical significance was based on the reported studies. Group I was control group (C), Group II was Osteoblast group (O), and Group III was angiogenesis group (P). In all Groups, BRONJ was produced. At 8 weeks of tooth extraction, BRONJ was confirmed histologically and radiologically in two rabbits from each group of animals. Group I received 0.5 of normal saline, Group II received a single dose of 5 million osteoblasts suspended in 0.5 ml, and Group III received 5 mg of angiogenesis factor thrice weekly for three weeks. The healing of BRONJ was assessed using M-CT and histopathology.

Results: In O and P groups, the extraction sockets healed and closed with normal-looking tissue, whereas in the C (control) group, suppuration with an area of necrosis was observed. Micro-CT analysis of socket revealed an exaggeration on non-mineralized soft tissue volume in the C group, whereas most of the bone promotion parameters were improved in the O and P groups with statistical difference (P<0.001) for the parameters bone volume, bone surface area, trabecular number and trabecular thickness. Histologically, the element of healing was represented by reactive bone formation and fibrosis, which were more prominent in groups O and P as compared to the control group.

Conclusion: Our study shows that ABMDO and angiogenesis factor have a robust potential to heal BRONJ.

Clinical Relevance : The study shows angiogenesis factor and osteoblasts heals BRONJ and warrant sincere human trials to tackle this unrelenting complication of bisphosphonates use.

Keywords: BRONJ, Osteoblasts, Angiogenesis factor, Proof of concept, Heal, Treatment.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery POBOX 40071, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, King Fahd Hospital of the University, AlKhobar 31952, Saudi Arabia; E-mail: drsadat@hotmail.com

Cite as: Sadat-Ali M, Omar O, Almas K, Ahmed A, Treatment of Bisphosphonate-related Osteonecrosis of Jaw (BRONI) in Rabbit Model: A Proof-of-concept Animal Study Comparing Angiogenesis Factor Versus Autologous Bone Marrow-derived Osteoblasts (ABMDO). Open Dent J, 2024; 18: e18742106287485 http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118742106287485240219103815





(cc) Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

OPEN ACCESS



 (\mathbf{i})

^{© 2024} The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bisphosphonates prevent the loss of bone density and are used to treat osteoporosis and similar diseases. Before osteoporosis, bisphosphonates were used in cancer therapy. Bisphosphonates are used in cancer therapy to reduce bone pain and the risk of fractures [1-4]. It was reported that in some cancers, they also improve patient survival [5, 6]. At present, bisphosphonates are the choice of drug used in the treatment of post-menopausal osteoporosis [7-9].

Bisphosphonates-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ) is one of the well-described complications of bisphosphonate therapy and affects the jaws, either mandible or maxilla and in 2003, BRONJ became a pathological continuant entity [10]. Many theories have been put forward for the cause of BRONJ. One theory put forward the impaired functionality of macrophages as the dominant factor in the development of BRONJ, and the hypovascularity of the mandible itself has been suggested to be an important factor [11, 12]. Recently, apart from other causes, impaired angiogenesis has been blamed at the site of BRONJ [13, 14].

Further, various treatments were tried, but the consequences of the BRONJ and cure appeared afar. Since no treatment was efficacious, a multitude of therapies were attempted [15-17]. Nonetheless, an effective treatment for BRONJ still remains elusive and further options need to be investigated [18]. A novel model of BRONJ in rats was introduced, which allows for more effective treatment of BRONJ [19, 20]. A recent study in rats showed that BRONJ can be treated successfully with angiogenesis factor and by ABMDO [21]. In this study, we created BRONJ in rabbits using intravenous bisphosphonates and extraction of the 1st premolar. We treated it with ABMDO and angiogenesis factor and confirmed the effectiveness as previously indicated in smaller animals.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed based on the ARRIVE guidelines and approved by the Institutional Review Board and Animal Care Committee of the Imam Abdul Rahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia, vide #2021-01-464.

Thirty female New Zealand rabbits were procured from in-house breeding at the Animal House of the Institute for Research and Medical Consultations (IRMC), Imam Abdul Rahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam. The number of animals chosen to achieve statistical significance was based on the reported studies. Group I was the control group (C), Group II was the Osteoblast group (O), and Group III was the angiogenesis group (P). The number of animals was decided on the basis of achieving accepted statistical significance [21, 22]. In all Groups, BRONJ was created by intravenous zoledronate 800 µg/kg and dexamethasone 10 mg/kg body weight once a week for 8 weeks and extraction of the mandibular first premolars after 6th week under general anesthesia. After 8 weeks of tooth extraction, BRONJ was confirmed histologically and radiologically in two rabbits from each group. All animals received their respective doses at the site of the molar extraction. Group I (C; n=8) received 0.5 of normal saline, Group II (O; n=8) received 5 million osteoblasts suspended in 0.5 ml, and Group III (P; n=8) received 5 mg of angiogenesis factor dissolved in 0.5 ml of normal saline thrice weekly for three weeks.

After four weeks, animals were euthanized, and each mandible was harvested en bloc and fixated in 10% formalin. The healing of BRONJ was assessed using a highresolution micro-CT Skyscan 1172 machine (Bruker Corporation 40 Manning Rd, Billerica, MA 01821, United States). The parameters used were as follows: source voltage=100kV, current=100uA, image pixel size=13.73 um, filter=Al, and rotation=360. Software used were NRecon (version 1.6.4.8) for image reconstruction, CTAnalyser (version 1.11.10.0) for 3D image analysis, and Dataviewer software for 2D/3D Micro-CT sliced visualization (all from Bruker Corporation 40 Manning Rd, Billerica, MA 01821, United States). After micro-CT, the specimens were decalcified and embedded in paraffin for qualitative histological evaluation using hematoxylin and eosin staining. In addition, selected samples were processed of immunostaining targeting blood vessels proliferation marker CD31 (Abcam, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.1. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS® software (Version 29). For the total socket area as a region-of-interest (ROI), one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test was used, considering the interventions as the only variable. When dividing the socket into 3 ROIs, two-way ANOVA was conducted using the intervention (BRONJ; BRONJ + therapy P (Angiogenesis Factor); BRONJ + therapy Osteoblasts) and the location of the ROI (Top; Middle; Bottom) as the two study variables. In conjunction with the two-way ANOVA, Bonferroni's test was used, which adjusts for the multiple comparisons. Data are presented in column graphs showing the mean and the standard error of the mean. Statistically significant differences (P values <0.05 and <0.001) are presented on the graphs.

3. RESULTS

Death occurred in the control group and group II (O group) after the treatment was instituted. The relatively higher mortality in the C group can be explained by the prolonged inflammatory processes, which might have extended beyond the local nature to a systemic condition. In the same context, the possibly reduced inflammation and enhanced regeneration in the O and P groups might have had a better effect in mitigating the development of BRONJ systemic inflammation. was confirmed histologically and radiologically in 2 animals in all the groups. In the O and P groups, there was the closure of the overlying soft tissue, whereas, in the C=Control group, there was suppuration and discharge with an area of mortification. Figs. (1 and 2) shows the total number of animals analyzed.

Generally, the extraction sockets appeared to be healed in the O and P groups, with a normal appearance of the mucosa covering the extraction site. In contrast, extraction sockets in the C group exhibited various degrees of necrotic tissue and suppuration.

Figs. (3-5) show the micro-CT evaluation of the extraction sockets in the three groups at three different ROIs (Top, middle and bottom, respectively).



Fig. (1). Flow chart of the animals analyzed.



Fig. (2). Gross pathology of the three groups. The photograph shows the suppuration and open extraction site in the control group, complete healing and closure of the site in the ABMDO and Angiogenesis factor groups. Gross pictures of control group=C, Osteobast=O and Angiogenesis factor=P.



Fig. (3). In the top of the socket, ROI shows tissue volume mm3 in Control was high when compared to the other groups with ABMDO and Angiogenesis groups at p<0.001, while trabecular separation (mm), trabecular number (1/mm), trabecular thickness (mm), bone surface mm2 and bone surface % was significantly higher in the O group (p<0.001).

In all ROIs, the control group (C) always showed an exaggerated soft tissue parameter, indicating an ongoing process of necrosis, as well as an increased trabecular separation, indicating higher bone resorption activity. In contrast, the bone promotion parameters, including bone volume and surface area, as well as the trabecular number and thickness, were generally higher in the angiogenesis (P) and the osteoblast (O) groups. In the top ROI, the comparison between the O and P groups revealed that the O group was significantly better than the P group for bone surface area (p=0.002), bone volume, trabecular number, and trabecular thickness (p<0.001). In the mid ROI, the P group was significant in all parameters (p<0.001) when compared to the O group and C groups (Fig. 4).

In the 3rd ROI, the effect was comparable between the O and P groups and better than the C group at p<0.001 (Fig. 5).



Fig. (4). The ROI in the middle of the socket, while trabecular separation (mm), trabecular number (1/mm), trabecular thickness (mm), bone surface mm2 and bone surface % were significantly higher in the Angiogenesis factor groups as compared to the control (p<0.001) and the ABMDO group (p<0.001), while the treated groups were significant p<0.001 as compared to the control group.

Histologically, the element of healing was represented by reactive bone formation and fibrosis, which were more prominent in groups O and P as compared to the control group. Both the groups had comparable extent of reactive bone formation (Fig. 6). However, fibrosis was slightly more prominent in group O. Skeletal vasculature played a significant role in the process of bone development, regeneration and remodeling. Reactive vascular proliferation was also identified which was more pronounced in group O and P as compared to group C. The CD-31 immunostaining, a vascular proliferation marker, was conducted to assess the extent of proliferating vessels (Fig. 7). The varying extent of acute and chronic inflammation was seen in all groups. There was no evident cartilaginous proliferation in any of the groups.



Fig. (5). This illustration compares the ROI at the bottom of the socket where the ABMDO and Angiogenesis groups were comparable to each other p < 0.001 and better than the control group (p < 0.001).





Fig. (6). H&E x 100 Minimal reactive bone formation (single arrow) in the background of mildly inflamed, edematous stroma in the Control (C1) groups, whereas prominent reactive bone formation in the ABMDO (O1) and Angiogenesis Factor (P1) groups.

Figures C2, O2 and P2, H &E x400 show reactive bone formation (single arrow) with background stroma (*) showing edema in C2 (control), marked fibrosis in O2 (ABDMO) and mild fibrosis in P2 (Angiogenesis factor).

Figures C3, O3 and P3, H &E x400, highlight the extent of vascular proliferation (*) with moderate proliferation seen in O3 (ABDMO) and marked seen in P3 (Angiogenesis factor). A single arrow shows reactive bone formation.



Fig. (7). CD31 stain Highlighting mildly proliferating vessels in control (C), moderately proliferating vessels in group ABMDO (O) and markedly proliferating vessels in Angiogenesis Factor (P) groups.

4. DISCUSSION

Our study shows that BRONJ can be successfully treated with ABMDO and angiogenesis factors. This study observed that the effect was different in three different ROIs: at the top of the socket, the middle, and the bottom of the socket. In all three ROIs, the healing was superior in the treatment groups in comparison with the control group. This study correlates to the earlier report in experimental rats, where a similar methodology was used [23]. The incidence of BRONJ varied from 0.01% to 9.9% depending on risk factors as those treated for malignant conditions [24, 25]. Fantasia (2015) [26] reported that inhibition of angiogenesis likely has either a primary or secondary role in the development of ONJ. Angiogenesis has long been earmarked for anticancer therapies, which could reduce the growth of tumors [27]. The increased risk of anti-angiogenesis is due to the importance of normal vessel growth in wound healing. Added to this, BRONJ is routinely reported in cancer patients using anti-angiogenesis therapy [28, 29]. Our study perceived that

the effect of the angiogenesis factor in the healing of BRONJ was excellent in comparison to the control group of animals.

Recently, studies have demonstrated that stem cell therapy has great promise for clinical applications and robust therapeutic effects in bone repair [30, 31]. Stem cell therapy has reported exceedingly good results in the treatment of osteonecrosis of the head of the femur [32-34]. This led researchers to use mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) as a therapeutic modality for tissue regeneration. Moreover, bone marrow-derived MSCs can differentiate into osteogenic cells and form new bone. MSCs have been proven to be effective in MRONI in animal models [35]. Nonetheless, the relatively complex isolation and characterization of MSCs, in addition to their potential differentiation to other cell types than the osteogenic lineage, may pose some disadvantages. Here, we used ABMDO instead of MSCs and found it to be very effective in the mitigation of BRONJ. In the management of BRONJ, a state of clinical equipoise exists, but recently, in a systematic review and international consensus, Khan et al. (2015) [36] concluded that in BRONJ, bone marrow stem cell intralesional transplantation is one of the modalities of treatment.

CONCLUSION

First of all, our study had limitations in the disparity of the number of animals in each group. There were only 5 animals in the control group compared to 8 in other groups. Secondly, we were unable to explain the mechanism of the positive effect of the two treatment modalities, as well as the site-specific variations of these effects in the three ROIs. The strength of our study is that we showed, by micro-CT (which evaluates the 3D structure) and histopathology, that the study groups had significantly more reactive bone formation and healing of BRONJ. Nonetheless, we believe that the results of our study warrant phase I human trials to test the efficacy and safety so that a cure for this severe debilitating complication can be found.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

1. Mir Sadat-Ali contributed to the concept, follow-up of animals, injection of the osteoblasts and angiogenesis factor, review of literature, writing and completion of the manuscript.

2. Omar M Omar contributed to the extraction of the teeth, follow-up at the animal house, and review of the manuscript.

3. Khalid Almas contributed to the extraction of teeth, follow-up of animals, and correction of the final manuscript.

4. Ayesha Ahmed contributed to the review of literature, histopathology studies and reporting, and the final review of the manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- M-CT = Micro-computerized Tomography
- C = Control Group
- O = Group II Osteoblast Group
- P = Group III Angiogenesis Group

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This animal study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and Animal Care Committee of Imam Abdul Rahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia, vide #2021-01-464.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No humans were used in this study. All the procedures involving animals were performed according to the US Public Health Service's "Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," and "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory animals" and in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data and supportive information are available within the article.

FUNDING

The study was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia; Vide **#** No. 2021-206.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare they do not have any conflict of interest related to this work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, Imam AbdulRahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia, for funding the project to Mr. Shakir Ahmed Laboratory Tech for histopathology and Mr. Jim Santander for Micro-CT images.

REFERENCES

- [1] O'Carrigan B, Wong MH, Willson ML, Stockler MR, Pavlakis N, Goodwin A. Bisphosphonates and other bone agents for breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017; 10(10): CD003474. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003474.pub4
- [2] Lopez-Olivo MA, Shah NA, Pratt G, Risser JM, Symanski E, Suarez-Almazor ME. Bisphosphonates in the treatment of patients with lung cancer and metastatic bone disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Support Care Cancer 2012; 20(11): 2985-98.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00520-012-1563-z PMID: 22956190

[3] Mhaskar R, Kumar A, Miladinovic B, Djulbegovic B. Bisphosphonates in multiple myeloma: An updated network metaanalysis. Cochrane Libr 2017; 2017(12): CD003188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003188.pub4 PMID: 29253322

[4] Ben-Aharon I, Vidal L, Rizel S, et al. Bisphosphonates in the adjuvant setting of breast cancer therapy--effect on survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013; 8(8): e70044.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070044 PMID: 23990894

[5] Zhu J, Zheng Y, Zhou Z. Oral adjuvant clodronate therapy could improve overall survival in early breast cancer: Results from an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer 2013; 49(9): 2086-92.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2013.01.021 PMID: 23452992

- [6] Van Acker HH, Anguille S, Willemen Y, Smits EL, Van Tendeloo VF. Bisphosphonates for cancer treatment: Mechanisms of action and lessons from clinical trials. Pharmacol Ther 2016; 158: 24-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.11.008 PMID: 26617219
- [7] Watts NB, Bilezikian JP, Camacho PM, et al. American association of clinical endocrinologists medical guidelines for clinical practice for the diagnosis and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Endocr Pract 2010; 16(S3): 1-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.4158/EP.16.S3.1 PMID: 21224201

http://ux.uoi.org/10.4156/EP.10.55.1 PMID: 21224201

- [8] Management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women: 2010 position statement of The North American Menopause Society. Menopause 2010; 17(1): 25-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/gme.0b013e3181c617e6
- Hauk L. ACOG releases practice bulletin on osteoporosis. Am Fam Physician 2013; 88(4): 269-75.
 PMID: 23944732
- [10] Compston J, Bowring C, Cooper A, et al. Diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and older men in the UK: National Osteoporosis Guideline Group (NOGG) update 2013. Maturitas 2013; 75(4): 392-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2013.05.013 PMID: 23810490
- [11] Pazianas M. Osteonecrosis of the jaw and the role of macrophages. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011; 103(3): 232-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djq516 PMID: 21189409
- [12] Migliorati CA, Siegel MA, Elting LS. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis: A long-term complication of bisphosphonate treatment. Lancet Oncol 2006; 7(6): 508-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70726-4 PMID: 16750501
- [13] Reid IR. Osteonecrosis of the jaw Who gets it, and why? Bone 2009; 44(1): 4-10.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2008.09.012 PMID: 18948230 [14] Cavanna L, Bertè R, Arcari A, Mordenti P, Pagani R, Vallisa D.

Osteonecrosis of the jaw. A newly emerging site-specific osseous pathology in patients with cancer treated with bisphosphonates. Report of five cases and review of the literature. Eur J Intern Med 2007; 18(5): 417-22.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2006.10.008 PMID: 17693231

[15] Zarychanski R, Elphee E, Walton P, Johnston J. Osteonecrosis of the jaw associated with pamidronate therapy. Am J Hematol 2006; 81(1): 73-5.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajh.20481 PMID: 16369966

- [16] Abu-Id MH, Açil Y, Gottschalk J, Kreusch T. Bisphosphonateassociated osteonecrosis of the jaw. Mund Kiefer Gesichtschir 2006; 10(2): 73-81. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10006-005-0670-0
- [17] Marx RE. Osteoradionecrosis: A new concept of its pathophysiology. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1983; 41(5): 283-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0278-2391(83)90294-X PMID: 6572704
- [18] McLeod NMH, Bater MC, Brennan PA. Management of patients at risk of osteoradionecrosis: Results of survey of dentists and oral & maxillofacial surgery units in the United Kingdom, and suggestions for best practice. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010; 48(4): 301-4.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2009.06.128 PMID: 19660845

[19] Nagai T, Imai H, Honda S, Negi A. Antiangiogenic effects of

bisphosphonates on laser-induced choroidal neovascularization in mice. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2007; 48(12): 5716-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.07-1023 PMID: 18055824

- [20] Woo SB, Hellstein JW, Kalmar JR. Narrative [corrected] review: Bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaws. Ann Intern Med 2006; 144(10): 753-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-144-10-200605160-00009 PMID: 16702591
- [21] Charan J, Kantharia ND. How to calculate sample size in animal studies? J Pharmacol Pharmacother 2013; 4(4): 303-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0976-500X.119726 PMID: 24250214
- [22] Festing MFW, Altman DG. Guidelines for the design and statistical analysis of experiments using laboratory animals. ILAR J 2002; 43(4): 244-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ilar.43.4.244 PMID: 12391400
- [23] Sadat-Ali M, AlMasoud NA, Hegazi TM, et al. Treatment of bisphosphonate induced osteonecrosis of jaw in rats using an angiogenesis factor (A-Heal) and ABMDO (Autologous Bone Marrow Derived Osteoblasts). Saudi Dent J 2022; 34(2): 100-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2021.12.006 PMID: 35241898
- [24] Everts-Graber J, Lehmann D, Burkard JP, et al. Risk of osteonecrosis of the jaw under denosumab compared to bisphosphonates in patients with osteoporosis. J Bone Miner Res 2020; 37(2): 340-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.4472 PMID: 34787342
- [25] Bamias A, Kastritis E, Bamia C, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer after treatment with bisphosphonates: incidence and risk factors. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23(34): 8580-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/[CO.2005.02.8670 PMID: 16314620
- [26] Fantasia JE. The role of antiangiogenic therapy in the development of osteonecrosis of the jaw. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2015; 27(4): 547-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coms.2015.06.004 PMID: 26515736
- [27] Folkman J. Clinical applications of research on angiogenesis. N Engl J Med 1995; 333(26): 1757-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199512283332608
- [28] Greuter S, Schmid F, Ruhstaller T, Thuerlimann B. Bevacizumabassociated osteonecrosis of the jaw. Ann Oncol 2008; 19(12): 2091-2.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdn653 PMID: 18977851

- [29] Estilo CL, Fornier M, Farooki A, Carlson D, Bohle G 3rd, Huryn JM. Osteonecrosis of the jaw related to bevacizumab. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(24): 4037-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.15.5424
- [30] Einhorn TA, Gerstenfeld LC. Fracture healing: Mechanisms and interventions. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2015; 11(1): 45-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2014.164 PMID: 25266456
- [31] Mott A, Mitchell A, McDaid C, et al. Systematic review assessing the evidence for the use of stem cells in fracture healing. Bone & Joint Open 2020; 1(10): 628-38. http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/2633-1462.110.BJO-2020-0129 PMID: 33215094
- [32] Im GI. Stem cell therapy in osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Hip Pelvis 2018; 30(3): 135-7.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.5371/hp.2018.30.3.135 PMID: 30202746
- [33] Xu Y, Jiang Y, Xia C, Wang Y, Zhao Z, Li T. Stem cell therapy for osteonecrosis of femoral head: Opportunities and challenges. Regen Ther 2020; 15(15): 295-304. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reth.2020.11.003 PMID: 33426232
- [34] Sadat-Ali M, Al-Omran AS, AlTabash K, Acharya S, Hegazi TM, Al Muhaish MI. The clinical and radiological effectiveness of autologous bone marrow derived osteoblasts (ABMDO) in the management of avascular necrosis of femoral head (ANFH) in sickle cell disease (SCD). J Exp Orthop 2022; 9(1): 18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40634-022-00449-z
- [35] Nifosì G, Nifosì L, Nifosì AF. Mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of osteonecrosis of the jaw. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2021; 47(2): 65-75. http://dx.doi.org/10.5125/jkaoms.2021.47.2.65 PMID: 33911038
- [36] Khan AA, Morrison A, Hanley DA, et al. Diagnosis and

management of osteonecrosis of the jaw: A systematic review and

international consensus. J Bone Miner Res 2015; 30(1): 3-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.2405 PMID: 25414052