1874-2106/23



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Zirconium Dioxide Nanoparticles Effect on the Color Stability of Maxillofacial Silicone after Outdoor Weathering

Mohammed Abdalqadir¹ and Bruska Azhdar^{2,*}

¹Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dentistry, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani, Iraq ²Nanotechnology Research Laboratory, Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani, Iraq

Abstract:

Background:

Maxillofacial prostheses made of silicone elastomers tend to lose color. Despite advances in materials and processes, color change over time remains a challenge.

Objective:

This *in vitro* study aimed to observe how zirconium dioxide (ZrO_2) nanoparticles impact the color stability of M511 heat temperature vulcanizing (HTV) silicone elastomer following outdoor weathering.

Methods:

 ZrO_2 nanoparticles were added in concentrations of 1%, 2%, and 3% by weight to the M511 HTV silicone elastomer. Brilliant red- and mochapigmented silicone pigments were utilized, along with colorless silicone as a base control. A total of 90 disk-shaped specimens were fabricated and divided into nine experimental groups, each containing ten samples (n = 10). All specimens were subjected to 6 months of outdoor weathering. A colorimeter was used to measure the values of L*a*b* according to the CIELab system. The 50:50% perceptibility threshold ($\Delta E^* = 3.0$) were used to interpret recorded color differences. At the 0.05 level of significance, the 1-way ANOVA and the Tukey post hoc test were used in the statistical analysis.

Results:

All evaluated specimen groups experienced a chromatic alteration ($\Delta E^* > 0$). The ΔE^* values exceeded the perceptible threshold in all groups (1.1 units). The ΔE^* value of the colorless group and the red pigment with and without ZrO₂ nanoparticles were both above the acceptable threshold (p < 0.001). Mocha control was also above the acceptable level but was not statistically significant (p > 0.99). ZrO₂ nanoparticles showed a reduction in color change.

Conclusion:

According to this *in vitro* study, all specimens underwent color changes. Even colorless silicone exhibited a significant color change. The red pigment showed a highly significant chromatic alteration. ZrO_2 nanoparticles showed important protection and a reduction in color change. Its protecting action increased with an increase in the concentration of ZrO_2 nanoparticles ($3\% ZrO_2 > 2\% ZrO_2 > 1\% ZrO_2$).

Keywords: Color stability, Elastomers, Maxillofacial silicone, Outdoor weathering, Nanoparticles, ZrO₂ nanoparticles.

Article History Received: March 14, 2023 Rev	d: August 03, 2023 Accepted: August 11, 2023
--	--

1. INTRODUCTION

Maxillofacial prostheses are a therapy option for people with facial deformities that cannot be restored surgically [1 - 3]. The use of maxillofacial prosthetic materials is rising in patients with significant face abnormalities to improve functional and esthetic shortcomings [4 - 7].

For almost 50 years, maxillofacial prosthetic silicone elastomeric (MFPSE) materials have been the material of choice for repairing the anatomy and esthetic functioning of craniofacial abnormalities [8 - 11]. However, the durability and

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Nanotechnology Research Laboratory, Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani, Iraq; E-mail: bruska.azhdar@univsul.edu.iq

care of silicone prostheses are of concern [12, 13].

The color of the facial prosthesis is a crucial factor for the patient to consider while evaluating the prosthesis [14 - 16]. Discoloration is the most common reason for replacing facial prostheses because silicone elastomer exhibits significant chromatic change with time. The color is only considered practical for approximately six to twelve months after application and must be renewed [17 - 20].

Sunlight, moisture, temperature, air pollution, rain, and wind are the primary causes of outdoor color change and polymer deterioration. A photo-oxidative attack, caused by the combined actions of oxygen and sunlight on the chemical structure of a material, is the precise mechanism through which deterioration occurs. The nature and severity of these adverse changes may vary based on the geographic region, climate, and environment in which the prosthesis is utilized [21 - 25].

Polymer outdoor performance can be simulated; in many circumstances, polymer lifetime in service can be estimated using artificial weathering. However, rapid artificial weathering may impact the degradation pathway, resulting in inaccurate estimations of polymer lifetime [26 - 29].

In terms of physical and optical qualities, researchers found that adding nanoparticles to polymers provided promising results. Nano-sized ZrO_2 has a small size, an active function, a large specific area, and a strong interaction with organic polymers. As a result, it can increase the polymer's optical and physical properties and its resistance to environmental stress-induced breaking and aging [30, 31]. ZrO_2 nanoparticles have excellent mechanical and electrical properties, a high dielectric constant, a wide band gap, and strong thermal stability. ZrO_2 uses include optical applications, gas sensors, solid fuel cells, high-durability coatings, and catalytic agents [32].

The CIE Lab system, designed by the Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage (CIE), is often used to define color notations. The overall color difference attributed to all color coordinates changes is designated as ΔE^* . The perceptibility threshold for light-skinned maxillofacial prosthetic silicone is 1.1, while the acceptability threshold is 3.0 [33, 34].

There are currently no *in vitro* or *in vivo* studies examining the influence of ZrO_2 nanoparticles on the color stability of maxillofacial silicone following outdoor natural weathering. As a result, the current work attempted to determine the effect of ZrO_2 nanoparticles on the color stability of maxillofacial silicone elastomer following outdoor weathering. According to the null hypothesis, outside weathering will not change the color of maxillofacial silicone, and the addition of nano ZrO_2 will not protect the silicone from chromatic alteration.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

The materials used in this study were obtained from their respective manufacturers: parts A and B of M511 HTV maxillofacial silicone elastomer from Technovent Co. Ltd. (Bridgend, UK), ZrO_2 nanoparticles of 99.9% purity, 20 to 30 nm, SSA >35 m²/g, density: 5.89 g/cm³, nearly spherical, white nanopowder from SkySpring Nanomaterials Inc. (Houston, TX, USA), dry pigment (Brilliant red and mocha) from Technovent Co. Ltd. (Bridgend, UK).

2.2. Outdoor Weathering

The specimens were weathered outside at Sulaimani, Kurdistan region, Iraq. From December 11, 2021, to June 11, 2022, samples were placed on the rooftop of the University of Sulaimani's College of Science, Department of Physics. Stainless steel ligature wire was used to secure specimens to a wooden rack. The samples were left uncovered and exposed to climate conditions during the weathering process. The average monthly temperature and other environmental parameters during outdoor natural weathering are listed in Table 1.

2.3. Experimental Design and Sample Preparation

A total of 90 disk-shaped specimens (2 mm thick, 20 mm diameter) [35, 36] were prepared and evenly divided throughout nine experimental groups, with ten samples (n = 10) in each group. The control specimens were fabricated without adding ZrO_2 nanoparticles (0% ZrO_2). However, ZrO_2 nanoparticles were combined with silicone at 1%, 2%, and 3% by weight to create the study specimens. Fig. (1) displays the dispersion of these specimens by pigment (*i.e.*, red and mocha).

Date	Max T (C°)	Min T (C°)	Avg T (C°)	Avg Wind Speed (Kmph)	Rainfall (mm)	Snowfall (mm)	Humidity (%)	UV Index	Avg Sun h/days
December 2021	13	5	10	6.5	116.6	0.00	52	3	309/25
January 2022	7	0	4	6.6	254.2	280	74	2	273/17
February 2022	12	3	9	6.9	97.2	105	65	4	255/21
March 2022	14	4	10	9.2	63.4	71	58	5	312/29
April 2022	25	12	20	9	26.3	0.00	40	5	333/26
May 2022	30	14	24	11	19.9	0.00	35	7	351/28
June 2022	39	22	33	11.4	2.4	0.00	19	8	359/30

Table 1. Average monthly climatic data during outdoor weathering.

Note: Avg sun h/days, average sun hour and sun days; Avg T, average temperature; Avg wind speed, average wind speed; Kmph, kilometer per hour; Max T, maximum temperature; Min T, minimum temperature; Rainfall, monthly total rainwater height; snowfall, monthly full snow height; UV index, ultraviolet index scale, 0-2 low, 3-7 moderate, 8+ high to the extreme;

Data source: https://www.worldweatheronline.com/as-sulaymaniyah-weather-averages/as-sulaymaniyah/iq.aspx



Fig. (1). The flow chart of specimen preparation and distribution.

By laser-cutting cast-iron sheets, metal molds were made. The iron sheet utilized had a thickness of 2 mm, and each mold contained 16 specimen holes. Two stainless-steel plates with precise outside dimensions were cut for each mold to sandwich the mold between them and withstand clamping force.

According to the manufacturer's instructions, the M511 silicone elastomer is provided as a base (part A) and catalyst (part B), mixed in a ratio of 10:1 by weight. The weight of the pigment was equivalent to 0.2% of the silicone's overall weight [17, 35, 37 - 40].

First, parts A and B of the silicone were measured using a digital electronic weight balance (Nimbus® Analytical, Adam Equipment Inc., Oxford, CT, USA). Next, parts A and B of the silicone were mixed according to the manufacturer's instructions in a vacuum mixer (AX-2000C; Aixin Medical Equipment Co. Ltd., Xiqing, Tianjin, China) for 5 minutes at a speed of 360 rpm and a vacuum of -0.09 MPa. This was done to prepare M511 silicone without ZrO₂ nanoparticles and pigment. The silicone specimens with each pigment were then prepared by weighing the pigment, adding it to Part A of the M511 silicone, and mixing them for 10 minutes under a vacuum. However, only mixing is done for the first 2 minutes to avoid sucking up any of the pigments with the vacuum. The study group specimens were made by first weighing the ZrO₂ nanoparticles and the pigment and mixing them in the M511 silicone component A. After that, a vacuum mixer was used to combine them for 10 minutes. The vacuum was turned off for the first 2 minutes to prevent the suction of the pigment and ZrO₂ nanoparticles, precisely as it had been for earlier silicone specimen preparation with only pigment content. The mixing bowl was then set aside to cool to room temperature since the mixer's rotation generated heat, reducing the material's working time. Part B was added to the vacuum mixer and mixed for

another 5 minutes. After putting the mixture into the molds with a metal spatula, it was placed in a vacuum chamber for 2 minutes to remove any air bubbles that had formed during the loading process. The molds were then placed in a pressure pot (Pentola A pressione typodont; Leone S.p.A., Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy) for 2 minutes at 0.2 MPa to smooth the mixture's surface and break superficial air bubbles. Then the mold was closed and placed under a 0.03 MPa hydraulic press for 5 minutes. The molds were then sealed and clamped with G-clamps, and the material was polymerized for 1 hour in a hot air oven (Memmert; Memmert GmbH+Co KG, Schwabach, Germany).

After being removed from the molds, the specimens were washed with water and cleaned with liquid detergent before being dried with tissue paper. The specimens were then cut using a scissor to remove any excess. Samples that had obvious defects were discarded before testing. All specimens were stored in a light-proof black box to avoid potential color changes. Color measurements were performed using a digital colorimeter (WR10QC colorimeter, FRU, Longgang, Shenzhen, China). The samples were left outside to weather for six months. After outdoor weathering, specimens were cleaned with water and liquid soap and dried with tissue paper before being measured again. The CIELAB color system was used to record the values. The CIELAB system is a nearly uniform color space containing lightness coordinates, such as whiteblack (L), redness-greenness (a), and yellowness-blueness (b). The L, a, and b values of each specimen were measured at baseline and after six months of outdoor weathering. Color difference (ΔE^*) was calculated from the mean ΔL^* , Δa^* , Δb^* values for each specimen using the formula below [41]:

$$\Delta E^{*} = [(\Delta L)^{2} + (\Delta a)^{2} + (\Delta b)^{2}]^{1/2}$$

4 The Open Dentistry Journal, 2023, Volume 17

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Multiple 1-way ANOVAs were performed on the ΔE^* values to see if there were significant color differences between the groups using statistical software IBM SPSS statistics version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Post hoc Tukey tests were utilized to make multiple comparisons when the 1-way ANOVA resulted in statistical significance.

3. RESULTS

The means and standard deviations of ΔE^* in the control and ZrO_2 nanoparticles groups for both pigments, including red and mocha, after six months of outdoor natural weathering, are presented in (Table 2 and Fig. 2). However, the ANOVA table for color alterations (ΔE^*) is shown in Table 3. All evaluated specimens of the control and ZrO₂ nanoparticle groups experienced a chromatic alteration ($\Delta E^*>0$). The ΔE^* values for all groups were found to be above the perceptible threshold ($\Delta E^{*}=1.1$). However, ΔE^* was determined to be below the acceptable clinical threshold of ($\Delta E^*=3.0$) for mocha groups with ZrO₂ nanoparticles, demonstrating acceptable agingdependent color changes. While; the ΔE^* for the colorless silicone group, red pigment alone, and red pigment with ZrO₂ nanoparticles showed highly significant color change, and all were above the acceptable threshold ($\Delta E^*=3.0$).



Fig. (2). Means and standard deviations of color change (ΔE^*) for control and ZrO₂ nanoparticles groups.

Table 2. Means and standard deviations of ΔE^* for control and ZrO_2 nanoparticles groups after 6 months of outdoor weathering.

Groups	Mean (ΔE*)	SD
Colorless (only silicone)	8.53 ^b	0.39
Red	44.92 ^b	0.93
$\text{Red} + 1\% \text{ ZrO}_2$	42.98 ^b	1.23
$\text{Red} + 2\% \text{ ZrO}_2$	41.47 ^b	1.38
$\text{Red} + 3\% \text{ ZrO}_2$	39.07 ^b	0.60
Mocha	3.19 ^b	0.65
Mocha + 1% ZrO ₂	2.90 ^a	1.04
Mocha + 2% ZrO ₂	2.52 ^ª	0.40
Mocha + 3% ZrO ₂	2.15 ^ª	0.20

Note: ΔE^* , color change of specimens were evaluated by following formula $\Delta E^* = [(\Delta L)^2 + (\Delta a)^2 + (\Delta b)^2]^{1/2}$; SD, standard deviation. ^a $\Delta E^* > 1.1$ (50:50% perceptibility threshold); ^b $\Delta E^* > 3.0$ (50:50% acceptability threshold).

Table 3. ANOVA result for color changes (ΔE^*) after outdoor weathering.

-	SS	DF	MS	F	p-value
Between Groups	35852.446	9	3983.605	6116.959	.000*
Within Groups	58.612	90	.651	-	-
Total	35911.058	99	-	-	-

Note: DF, degree of freedom;; F, F-statistic, the ratio of two mean squares that forms the basis of a hypothesis test; MS, Mean square; p-value, probability; *, Significant at 5% level of significance (p < 0.05); SS, sum of squares.

It is crucial to note that there were relevant variations in the ΔE^* values among the groups. After six months of outdoor weathering, the colorless specimens showed a significant color alteration with respect to both perceptible and acceptable thresholds (p<0.001). For red pigment only and with ZrO₂ nanoparticles 1%ZrO₂, 2%ZrO₂, and 3%ZrO₂, they showed a highly significant color alteration, and the ΔE^* was higher than the perceptible and acceptable thresholds (p<0.001). The ΔE^* for them was 44.92, 42.98, 41.47, and 39.07, respectively. The addition of ZrO₂ nanoparticles showed a significant reduction in color change for all concentrations (p=0.001). Regarding Mocha pigment, all groups with mocha pigment were above the perceptible threshold. According to the perceptible threshold, the mocha control group, 1%ZrO₂, and 2%ZrO₂ showed a significant increase, with p-values of <0.001, <0.001, and 0.006, respectively. The 3%ZrO₂ group showed a statistically non-significant increase according to the perceptible threshold (p=0.115). All groups of mocha pigment with ZrO₂ nanoparticles were below the acceptable threshold. However, mocha pigment only without ZrO₂ nanoparticles was above the acceptable threshold but statistically non-significant (p >0.99). Adding 1%, 2%, and 3% ZrO₂ nanoparticles to mocha pigment showed decreases in color change. However, they were statistically non-significant, and the p-values were 0.998, 0.702, and 0.131, respectively.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this *in vitro* study support rejecting the stated null hypothesis because outdoor weathering altered the color of specimens and caused a considerable color change in all groups, whereas ZrO_2 nanoparticles provided good protection and reduced color alterations.

Loss of esthetics and poor durability have been identified as the most common issues with facial prostheses throughout several clinical studies. Patients' most common reason for disliking their prosthesis is color fading [42]. Currently, different pigments and preblended combinations of pigments and opacifiers claim to boost prosthetic color stability.

This study's key criterion was outside weathering for six months. According to the researchers, a minimum of three months of outdoor weathering is required for observable change in maxillofacial materials [23]. It is improbable for an individual to be outside 24 hours a day. Suppose a facial prosthesis is exposed to 8 to 12 hours of outdoor exposure per day. In that case, the duration of clinical service could range from 12 to 18 months. The current study's six months of weathering included three months of cold weather (December, January, and February) and three months of hot weather (March, April, May, and half of June; weather data is shown in Table 1).

The deterioration characteristics of materials are determined by the quality and quantity of radiant energy to which the material is exposed, as well as whether the absorbed radiation contains enough energy to trigger a chemical change, resulting in material degradation [24]. The specimens in this study were left in the open without a glass cover to expose the specimen surfaces to a wide range of weathering conditions. The color difference that the human eye can detect is referred to as the perceptibility threshold. In contrast, the color difference acceptable in esthetics is regarded as the acceptability threshold [43]. Changing a material's color in a clinical setting is permitted if the change is less than the acceptable threshold and more than the detectable threshold. This suggests that a material's color change can be clinically detected while being aesthetically pleasing. In this investigation, the color change of mocha is aesthetically acceptable. However, colorless silicone and red pigment are not aesthetically acceptable because ΔE^* is well beyond the acceptable threshold.

In line with expectations, the color of additive-free silicone samples exposed to outside weathering has changed noticeably. Silicone may absorb UV light energy, causing polymer chains to break and free radicals to form. The most significant impacts on maxillofacial silicones are caused by sunlight, which contains wavelengths such as UV light, visible light, and infrared light. When maxillofacial prostheses are exposed to sunlight, the silicone absorbs photons, causing photodegradation [44 - 46]. Through a process known as photodegradation, molecules are fragmented, and photons permanently change their forms [47]. While most research on the influence of weathering on the properties of maxillofacial silicones has used artificial aging, there has been little research on the effect of natural weathering. Furthermore, each geographic region's natural weather has special and unique properties. The results obtained in each region would be advantageous to the inhabitants. Therefore, the tested maxillofacial silicones in this study were subjected to outdoor weathering.

The unpigmented group in the current study had a significant color change considering the perceptibility and acceptability thresholds. Hatamleh et al. reported that the unpigmented group had a considerable color change, which they attributed to continuous chemical polymerization [48]. Furthermore, these color changes may be induced by increased cross-linking generated by continuous silicone polymerization or by side reactions among impurities present in the silicone [23, 44, 49, 50]. A study by Polyzois [24] on the color stability of non-pigmented silicone elastomers following outdoor weathering discovered that silicone elastomers after outdoor weathering for one year resulted in visually noticeable color change. The exposure period and the silicone elastomer influenced color stability [42]. Our findings support previous research that showed colorless silicone prone to chromatic change [23, 51 - 53]. The red pigment showed a significant color change regarding both perceptibility and acceptability threshold (P<0.001). Red was chosen as the intrinsic color for this study based on the findings of Kiat-Amnuay et al. The red pigment was shown to have the most substantial negative impact on the color stability of silicone elastomers in their study [54]. Beatty et al. [49] studied the effect of UV light exposure on the color of dry-pigmented maxillofacial elastomer. They found that red cosmetic dry earth pigment underwent significant color changes after 400 hours of exposure. Another study discovered that the significant color change observed is primarily due to the loss of red pigment caused by irradiation lighting [48]. Colorants and polymers are commonly harmed by ultraviolet light. This electromagnetic wave covers only a small portion of the visible spectrum. Many researchers have used artificial weathering or aging chambers to simulate the outdoor environment, which helps evaluate the color deterioration of materials [42, 51, 54 - 56]. In contrast, it has been claimed that accelerated aging causes more color changes than natural aging [57, 58]. The natural outdoor weathering process may more effectively mimic natural environmental conditions. However, the limited application of natural aging could be that the procedure is time-demanding, and there is a lack of standardization based on climate conditions in different geographic regions.

According to the results of this study following outdoor weathering, the control group (containing only pigments) showed the most significant color difference of all the groups. This is because elastomers have the inherent tendency to lose color with weathering.

According to Haug et al. [23] who evaluated the color stability of a commonly used colorant-elastomer combination after exposure to weathering, several of the combinations exhibited color changes due to coloring. In a previous study investigating the effect of nano-opacifiers (TiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles) on the color stability of M511 maxillofacial silicone after outdoor weathering, they found that incorporation of nano-oxides improved the color stability of M511 maxillofacial silicone elastomer and also acted as an opacifier. Specimens with ZnO nanoparticles showed minimal or no color change after outdoor weathering [59]. Another study examined the effect of SiO₂ nanoparticles on the color stability of M51 maxillofacial silicone and found that the light transmission of all experimental groups decreased significantly [60]. Another study evaluated the impact of TiO₂, ZrO₂, and silica nanoparticles on the color stability of pigmented polydimethylsiloxane after UVB storage. They found that polydimethylsiloxane elastomers loaded with 1% TiO₂ demonstrated less color change compared to ZrO₂ and silica nanoparticles [61].

While the color shift was reduced in the groups that contained ZrO_2 nanoparticles, this protective function of ZrO_2 nanoparticles increased with concentration. When ultraviolet sunlight hits nanoparticles in a medium, the electrons are made to vibrate. Since nanoparticles are smaller than the wavelengths of UV light, some of the light is simultaneously scattered and absorbed [55, 62]. In accordance with these fundamental principles, UV protection results through nanoparticle absorption and scattering. Due to the fact that ZrO_2 nanoparticles scatter and absorb UV light, they offer comparable UV protection.

Another explanation behind the protecting role of zirconium dioxide nanoparticles may be due to its higher specific heat, which may allow more heat transmission to the polymer, possibly resulting in more polymerization during the curing process and thereby reducing post-curing polymerization. Post-curing polymerization may have produced color changes. The refractive index of zirconium dioxide nanoparticles is about (n= \sim 2.2), while maxillofacial silicone is around (n= \sim 1.4). Generally, light is bent more, travels shorter paths, and does not penetrate as deeply in

materials with higher refractive indices. Therefore, samples containing zirconium dioxide nanoparticles are more efficient at scattering light than the other groups without zirconium dioxide nanoparticles [61, 63].

In this study, ZrO_2 nanoparticles and silicone pigments were evenly dispersed in the silicone elastomer matrix using a vacuum mixer, significantly reducing silicone color change. The smaller the nano-oxide particles, the greater the UV protection may be accomplished. Therefore, it may be assumed that the ZrO_2 nanoparticles (20-30 nm) utilized in this work could help materials retain their color. The groups containing ZrO_2 nanoparticles had a lighter hue than the control group, as observed visually. This is because ZrO_2 nano-oxides function as opacifiers [64].

This *in vitro* study had several limitations. In this study, the effect of natural outdoor weathering on color stability was examined. Only one type of maxillofacial silicone was tested in this study. Two pigments and a specific concentration of ZrO_2 nanoparticles were tested, which could be considered a limitation. Future research should examine the effects of other variables, such as disinfectant solutions, different types of nanoparticles, silicone, and pigments.

CONCLUSION

In this *in vitro* study, all specimens exhibited color changes ($\Delta E^{*>0}$) after outdoor weathering. Colorless silicone samples exhibited a considerable color change. Red pigments with and without ZrO₂ nanoparticles showed significant changes (p<0.001). Mocha pigments with ZrO₂ nanoparticles exceed the perceptible threshold yet are aesthetically acceptable. ZrO₂ nanoparticles played an essential role in protecting the silicone samples and decreasing color change.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Mohammed Abdalqadir and Bruska Azhdar contributed equally to this work.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CIE	=	Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage				
MFPSE	=	Maxillofacial prosthetic silicone elastomeric				
HIV	=	Heat temperature vulcanizing				
ZrO ₂	=	Zirconium dioxide				

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals/humans were used in this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Nanotechnology Research Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Sulaimani, for laboratory support.

REFERENCES

- Scolozzi P, Jaques B. Treatment of midfacial defects using prostheses supported by ITI dental implants. Plast Reconstr Surg 2004; 114(6): 1395-404.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.PRS.0000138595.86570.3E] [PMID:
- 15509925][2] Kurunmäki H, Kantola R, Hatamleh MM, Watts DC, Vallittu PK. A fiber-reinforced composite prosthesis restoring a lateral midfacial
- defect: A clinical report. J Prosthet Dent 2008; 100(5): 348-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(08)60235-8] [PMID: 18992568]
- [3] Wondergem M, Lieben G, Bouman S, van den Brekel MWM, Lohuis PJFM. Patients' satisfaction with facial prostheses. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2016; 54(4): 394-9.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2015.09.011] [PMID: 26508540]
 [4] Jani RM, Schaaf NG. An evaluation of facial prostheses. J Prosthet
- Dent 1978; 39(5): 546-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(78)80191-7] [PMID: 274548] [5] Craig RG, Koran A, Yu R. Elastomers for maxillofacial applications.
- Biomaterials 1980; 1(2): 112-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612(80)90010-1] [PMID: 7470557] [6] Valauri AJ. Maxillofacial prosthetics. Aesthetic Plast Surg 1982; 6(3):

159-64. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01570636] [PMID: 7180719]

- [7] Gettleman ZKL, Jacobsen CS. Conference Report: Materials Research in Maxillofacial Prosthetics. J Dent Res 1992; 71(8): 1541-2.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/00220345920710081401] [PMID: 1506520]
 [8] Chang TL, Garrett N, Roumanas E, Beumer J III. Treatment satisfaction with facial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 2005; 94(3): 275-80.
 - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2005.06.002] [PMID: 16126080]
- [9] Montgomery PC, Kiat-Amnuay S. Survey of currently used materials for fabrication of extraoral maxillofacial prostheses in North America, Europe, Asia, and Australia. J Prosthodont 2010; 19(6): 482-90.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2009.00538.x] [PMID: 20002975]
- [10] Polyzois G, Derek Stafford G, Winter R. A study of some mechanical properties of an RTV polydimethylsiloxane for extraoral maxillofacial prostheses. Clin Mater 1992; 9(1): 21-9.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0267-6605(92)90006-F] [PMID: 10149955]
 [11] Bishal AK, Wee AG, Barão VAR, *et al.* Color stability of maxillofacial prosthetic silicone functionalized with oxide nanocoating. J Prosthet Dent 2019; 121(3): 538-43.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2018.06.007] [PMID: 30503151]
 [12] Brandão TB, Vechiato Filho AJ, de Souza Batista VE, *et al.* Assessment of treatment outcomes for facial prostheses in patients with craniofacial defects: A pilot retrospective study. J Prosthet Dent 2017; 118(2): 235-41.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2016.10.014] [PMID: 28159348]
 [13] Ariani N, Visser A, van Oort RP, *et al.* Current state of craniofacial
- prosthetic rehabilitation. Int J Prosthodont 2013; 26(1): 57-67. [http://dx.doi.org/10.11607/ijp.3220] [PMID: 23342336]
- [14] Goiato MC, Pesqueira AA, Santos DM, Zavanelli AC, Ribeiro PP. Color stability comparison of silicone facial prostheses following disinfection. J Prosthodont 2009; 18(3): 242-4.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2008.00411.x] [PMID: 19141044]
- [15] Kiat-amnuay S, Johnston DA, Powers JM, Jacob RF. Color stability of dry earth pigmented maxillofacial silicone A-2186 subjected to microwave energy exposure. J Prosthodont 2005; 14(2): 91-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2005.00017.x] [PMID:

16011619]

[16] Tran NH, Scarbecz M, Gary JJ. *In vitro* evaluation of color change in maxillofacial elastomer through the use of an ultraviolet light absorber and a hindered amine light stabilizer. J Prosthet Dent 2004; 91(5): 483-90.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2004.02.021] [PMID: 15153857]

[17] Mancuso DN, Goiato MC, Santos DM. Color stability after accelerated aging of two silicones, pigmented or not, for use in facial prostheses. Braz Oral Res 2009; 23(2): 144-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1500/S1206.8224/2000000200000] [PMID:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1806-83242009000200009] [PMID: 19684948]

- [18] Gary JJ, Smith CT. Pigments and their application in maxillofacial elastomers: A literature review. J Prosthet Dent 1998; 80(2): 204-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(98)70111-8] [PMID: 9710823]
- [19] Ishigami T, Tanaka Y, Kishimoto Y, Okadac M. A facial prosthesis made of porcelain fused to metal: A clinical report. J Prosthet Dent 1997; 77(6): 564-7.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(97)70095-7] [PMID: 9185046]
 [20] Lemon JC, Chambers MS, Jacobsen ML, Powers JM. Color stability of facial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent 1995; 74(6): 613-8.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(05)80314-2] [PMID: 8778386]
 [21] Andreopoulos AG, Polyzois GL, Evangelatou M. Swelling properties of cross-linked maxillofacial elastomers. J Appl Polym Sci 1993; 50(4): 729-33

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.1993.070500419]

- [22] Eleni PN, Katsavou I, Krokida MK, Polyzois GL, Gettleman L. Mechanical behavior of facial prosthetic elastomers after outdoor weathering. Dent Mater 2009; 25(12): 1493-502. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2009.06.018] [PMID: 19683338]
- [23] Haug SP, Moore BK, Andres CJ. Color stability and colorant effect on maxillofacial elastomers. Part II: Weathering effect on physical properties. J Prosthet Dent 1999; 81(4): 423-30.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(99)80009-2] [PMID: 100952121
- Polyzois GL. Color stability of facial silicone prosthetic polymers after outdoor weathering. J Prosthet Dent 1999; 82(4): 447-50.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(99)70032-6]
 [PMID: 10512964]
- [25] Eleni PN, Krokida M, Polyzois G, Gettleman L, Bisharat GI. Effects of outdoor weathering on facial prosthetic elastomers. Odontology 2011; 99(1): 68-76.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10266-010-0145-0] [PMID: 21271329]

- [26] Dootz ER, Koran A III, Craig RG. Physical properties of three maxillofacial materials as a function of accelerated aging. J Prosthet Dent 1994; 71(4): 379-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(94)90098-1] [PMID: 8196002]
- [27] Gijsman P, Hennekens J, Janssen K. Comparison of UV degradation chemistry in accelerated (xenon) aging tests and outdoor tests (II). Polym Degrad Stabil 1994; 46(1): 63-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0141-3910(94)90110-4]
- [28] Pospíšil J, Pilař J, Billingham NC, Marek A, Horák Z, Nešpůrek S. Factors affecting accelerated testing of polymer photostability. Polym Degrad Stabil 2006; 91(3): 417-22.

 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2005.01.049]
 [29] Sampers J. Importance of weathering factors other than UV radiation and temperature in outdoor exposure. Polym Degrad Stabil 2002;

76(3): 455-65. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(02)00049-6]

- [30] Liu G, Li Y, Yan F, Zhao Z, Zhou L, Xue Q. Effect of Nanoscale SiO₂ and TiO₂ as the Fillers on the Mechanical Properties and Aging Behavior of Linear Low-Density Polyethylene/Low-Density Polyethylene Blends. J Polym Environ 2005; 13(4): 339-48. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-005-5528-x]
- [31] Gad M, Rahoma A, Al-Thobity AM, ArRejaie A. Influence of incorporation of ZrO₂ nanoparticles on the repair strength of polymethyl methacrylate denture bases. Int J Nanomedicine 2016; 11: 5633-43.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S120054] [PMID: 27822041]

[32] Garcia JC, Scolfaro LMR, Lino AT, et al. Structural, electronic, and optical properties of ZrO₂ from ab initio calculations. J Appl Phys 2006; 100(10): 104103-9.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2386967]

- [33] Westland S. Review of the CIE system of colorimetry and its use in dentistry. J Esthet Restor Dent 2003; 15(s1)(Suppl. 1): S5-S12.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8240.2003.tb00313.x] [PMID: 15000899]
- [34] Paravina RD, Majkic G, del Mar Perez M, Kiat-amnuay S. Color

difference thresholds of maxillofacial skin replications. J Prosthodont 2009; 18(7): 618-25.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2009.00465.x] [PMID: 19432759]

- [35] Chamaria A, Aras MA, Chitre V, Rajagopal P. Effect of chemical disinfectants on the color stability of maxillofacial silicones: An *in vitro* study. J Prosthodont 2019; 28(2): e869-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jopr.12768] [PMID: 29520956]
- [36] Yu R, Koran A III, Craig RG. Physical properties of a pigmented silicone maxillofacial material as a function of accelerated aging. J Dent Res 1980; 59(7): 1141-8.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/00220345800590070801] [PMID: 6929808]
 [37] Goiato MC, Haddad MF, Pesqueira AA, Moreno A, dos Santos DM, Bannwart LC. Effect of chemical disinfection and accelerated aging on color stability of maxillofacial silicone with opacifiers. J Prosthodont 2011; 20(7): 566-9.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2011.00755.x] [PMID: 21880094]

[38] Filié Haddad M, Coelho Goiato M, Micheline dos Santos D, Moreno A, Filipe D'almeida N, Alves Pesqueira A. Color stability of maxillofacial silicone with nanoparticle pigment and opacifier submitted to disinfection and artificial aging. J Biomed Opt 2011; 16(9): 095004.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.3625401] [PMID: 21950913]

- [39] Guiotti AM, Goiato MC, dos Santos DM, et al. Comparison of conventional and plant-extract disinfectant solutions on the hardness and color stability of a maxillofacial elastomer after artificial aging. J Prosthet Dent 2016; 115(4): 501-8.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2015.09.009] [PMID: 26602149]
 [40] Pesqueira AA, Goiato MC, dos Santos DM, *et al.* Effect of
- disinfection and accelerated aging on color stability of colorless and pigmented facial silicone. J Prosthodont 2011; 20(4): 305-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2011.00693.x] [PMID: 21463378]
- [41] Stiles WSWG. Color science: Concepts and methods, quantitative data and formulas. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley 1982; pp. 168-223.
- [42] Kiat-amnuay S, Mekayarajjananonth T, Powers JM, Chambers MS, Lemon JC. Interactions of pigments and opacifiers on color stability of MDX4-4210/type A maxillofacial elastomers subjected to artificial aging. J Prosthet Dent 2006; 95(3): 249-57.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2005.12.006] [PMID: 16543024]
 [43] Khashayar G, Bain PA, Salari S, Dozic A, Kleverlaan CJ, Feilzer AJ.
- Perceptibility and acceptability thresholds for colour differences in dentistry. J Dent 2014; 42(6): 637-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2013.11.017] [PMID: 24334221]
- Beatty MW, Mahanna GK, Jia W. Ultraviolet radiation-induced color shifts occurring in oil-pigmented maxillofacial elastomers. J Prosthet Dent 1999; 82(4): 441-6.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(99)70031-4]
 [PMID: 10512963]
- [45] Eleni PN, Katsavou I, Krokida MK, Polyzois GL. Color stability of facial silicone prosthetic elastomers after artificial weathering. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2008; 5(2): 71-9.
- [46] Bangera BS, Guttal SS. Evaluation of varying concentrations of nanooxides as ultraviolet protective agents when incorporated in maxillofacial silicones: An *in vitro* study. J Prosthet Dent 2014; 112(6): 1567-72.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2014.07.001] [PMID: 25156091]
 [47] Meszároš O, Schmidt P, Pospíšil J, Nešpůrek S. Photooxidation of poly[methyl(phenyl)silylene] and effect of photostabilizers. Polym Degrad Stabil 2006; 91(3): 573-8.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2005.01.059]
 [48] Hatamleh MM, Watts DC. Effect of extraoral aging conditions on color stability of maxillofacial silicone elastomer. J Prosthodont 2010; 19(7): 536-43.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2010.00627.x] [PMID:

20723020]

[49] Beatty MW, Mahanna GK, Dick K, Jia W. Color changes in dry-

pigmented maxillofacial elastomer resulting from ultraviolet light exposure. J Prosthet Dent 1995; 74(5): 493-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3913(05)80351-8] [PMID: 8809255]

[50] Bankoğlu M, Oral I, Gül EB, Yilmaz H. Influence of pigments and pigmenting methods on color stability of different silicone maxillofacial elastomers after 1-year dark storage. J Craniofac Surg 2013; 24(3): 720-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0b013e3182800f23] [PMID:

23714866]
[51] Gary JJ, Huget EF, Powell LD. Accelerated color change in a maxillofacial elastomer with and without pigmentation. J Prosthet Dent 2001; 85(6): 614-20.

- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mpr.2001.114683] [PMID: 11404762]
- [52] dos Santos DM, Goiato MC, Sinhoreti MAC, Fernandes AÚR, Ribeiro PP, Dekon SFC. Color stability of polymers for facial prosthesis. J Craniofac Surg 2010; 21(1): 54-8.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0b013e3181c3b58e]
 [PMID: 20061973]
- [53] Cifter ED, Ozdemir-Karatas M, Cinarli A, Sancakli E, Balik A, Evlioglu G. *In vitro* study of effects of aging and processing conditions on colour change in maxillofacial silicone elastomers. BMC Oral Health 2019; 19(1): 122.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12903-019-0798-1] [PMID: 31217006]

- [54] Kiat-Amnuay S, Lemon JC, Powers JM. Effect of opacifiers on color stability of pigmented maxillofacial silicone A-2186 subjected to artificial aging. J Prosthodont 2002; 11(2): 109-16. [PMID: 12087548]
- [55] Han Y, Zhao Y, Xie C, Powers JM, Kiat-amnuay S. Color stability of pigmented maxillofacial silicone elastomer: Effects of nano-oxides as opacifiers. J Dent 2010; 38(S2)(Suppl. 2): e100-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2010.05.009] [PMID: 20472021]
- [56] Nada H E, Ahmad M A, Moustafa N A. Evaluation of intrinsic color stability of facial silicone elastomer reinforced with different nanoparticles. Alex Dent J 2016; 41(1): 50-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/adjalexu.2016.59172]
- [57] Hatamleh MM, Polyzois GL, Silikas N, Watts DC. Effect of extraoral aging conditions on mechanical properties of maxillofacial silicone elastomer. J Prosthodont 2011; 20(6): 439-46.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2011.00736.x] [PMID: 21777333]
- [58] Farah A, Sherriff M, Coward T. Color stability of nonpigmented and pigmented maxillofacial silicone elastomer exposed to 3 different environments. J Prosthet Dent 2018; 120(3): 476-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2017.11.016] [PMID: 29627205]
- [59] Akash RN, Guttal SS. Effect of Incorporation of Nano-Oxides on Color Stability of Maxillofacial Silicone Elastomer Subjected to Outdoor Weathering. J Prosthodont 2015; 24(7): 569-75.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jopr.12252] [PMID: 25557157]
- [60] Tukmachi MS, Moudhaffer M. Effect of Nano Silicon Dioxide Addition on Some Properties of Heat Vulcanized Maxillofacial Silicone Elastomer. IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci 2017; 12(03): 37-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.9790/3008-1203063743]
- [61] Alkahtany M. Color Stability, Physical Properties and Antifungal Effects of ZrO₂ and TiO₂ Nanoparticle Additions to Pigmented Polydimethylsiloxanes. 2016.
- [62] Li R, Yabe S, Yamashita M, et al. UV-shielding properties of zinc oxide-doped ceria fine powders derived via soft solution chemical routes. Mater Chem Phys 2002; 75(1-3): 39-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0254-0584(02)00027-5]
- [63] Lee S, Shin HJ, Yoon SM, Yi DK, Choi JY, Paik U. Refractive index engineering of transparent ZrO₂-polydimethylsiloxane nanocomposites. J Mater Chem 2008; 18(15): 1751-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b715338d]
- [64] Kiat-amnuay S, Beerbower M, Powers JM, Paravina RD. Influence of pigments and opacifiers on color stability of silicone maxillofacial elastomer. J Dent 2009; 37(S1)(Suppl. 1): e45-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2009.05.004] [PMID: 19505752]

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.



Abdalqadir and Azhdar

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.