



The Open Dentistry Journal

Content list available at: <https://opendentistryjournal.com>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Indonesian Strain of *Lactobacillus reuteri* Probiotic Reduces the Initial Biofilm Colonization

Armelia Sari Widyarman¹, Triska Ramajayanti² and Citra Fragrantia Theodora^{3,*}

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

²Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia

³Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract:

Background:

The benefits of probiotics for human health have long been proven. Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri*, can produce a beneficial broad-spectrum antibacterial compound called reuterin by metabolizing glycerol.

Objective:

The aim of the study was to investigate the effect of the Indonesian strain of *L. reuteri* LC382415 on mono- and dual-species *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguinis* biofilms *in vitro*.

Methods:

Streptococcus mutans and *S. sanguinis* were cultured in BHI broth. *Lactobacillus reuteri* LC382415 was inoculated on MRS agar. The different concentrations effect of *L. reuteri* (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL) with and without glycerol supplementation on microbial biofilms were examined using a biofilm assay after incubation for 1,3,6, and 24-h. The biofilm mass optical density was measured with a microplate spectrophotometer at 490 nm. Chlorhexidine gluconate (0.2%) was used as a positive control, and wells without treatment were used as negative controls.

Results:

A significant reduction in mono- and dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilm formation was observed after treatment with all concentrations of *L. reuteri* and after all incubation periods ($p < 0.05$) with or without glycerol supplementation. The concentration of 1×10^4 CFU/mL after 3-h incubation was the most effective in inhibiting biofilm formation, with 87.8% *S. mutans*, 95.9% *S. sanguinis*, and 80.4% dual-species biofilm reduction compared to the negative control ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion:

The Indonesian strain of *L. reuteri* effectively reduces mono- and dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilms. This suggests that it may be useful in preventing biofilm formation in oral cavities. Future studies on the mechanism of action of this active component are warranted.

Keywords: Probiotic, Indonesia, *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus sanguinis*, Oral biofilm.

Article History

Received: April 30, 2020

Revised: August 09, 2020

Accepted: September 02, 2020

1. INTRODUCTION

Oral health issues in Indonesia still require meticulous attention on the part of dentists and the population [1]. Dental caries is one of the prevalent oral diseases, which is probably

caused due to poor oral health [2]. According to the 2018 Indonesian National Report on Basic Health Research (*Riskesdas*), 57.6% of Indonesians have oral health problems, including dental caries [3]. Caries frequently occurs in children and is the main aetiology of adult tooth loss [4]. It is a slowly developing chronic disease caused by an ecological imbalance between tooth minerals and plaque accumulation [5, 6]. Some oral bacteria produce lactic acid by carbohydrate fermentation,

* Address correspondence to this author at Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Salemba Raya No. 4, Central Jakarta, Jakarta 10430, Indonesia; E-mail: citra.fragrantia02@ui.ac.id

which dissolves the crystal hydroxyapatites in the tooth structure, causing dental caries [7]. Gram-positive cocci, most commonly *Streptococcus mutans* and *S. sanguinis*, are the initial colonizers forming oral biofilms [6].

Streptococcus mutans is a member of the commensal microbes in the oral cavity. However, it becomes pathogenic in acidic environments due to its ability to ferment some carbohydrates, produce large amounts of acid, and participate in biofilm formation [8]. Its capacity to cause a disease depends on its ability to adhere to the tooth surface through extracellular glucan polymerization [9]. In the late initial stage of oral biofilm formation, the tooth surface is coated with a pellicle, followed by the adhesion of other species of bacteria, such as *S. sanguinis* [10, 11], which in turn, enables other bacteria to adhere to the pellicle and form a biofilm [10]. Therefore, a therapeutic agent that targets the biofilm in this stage might be effective in preventing dental caries [12].

Nowadays, due to the increasing resistance of pathogenic bacteria to antibiotics, genetic engineering studies are being conducted [13, 14]. Commensal and pathogenic bacteria have been modified into probiotic bacteria to eliminate many pathogenic conditions from the human body. Although such applications have been used in systemic conditions for some time, their use in oral diseases is relatively new [13].

The benefits of probiotics for human health have long been proven [15]. For example, *Lactobacillus reuteri* produces reuterin (3-hydroxypropionaldehyde; 3-HPA) by metabolizing glycerol. Reuterin is an anti-pathogenic compound that exerts activity against fungi and bacteria, such as *S. mutans* [16, 17]. *Lactobacillus reuteri* also produces substances that can suppress or stimulate the immune response, including the modulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines [17, 18]. Research suggests that *L. reuteri* probiotics can be used as prophylaxis against caries [19] due to their antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties [18, 20]. However, the activity of *L. reuteri* depends on the strain [17].

Previously, the first Indonesian strain of *L. reuteri*, obtained from GenBank with accession number LC382415, was found in the saliva of Indonesian healthy young adults [21]. However, its mechanism of action in dental caries prevention has not yet been reported. Thus, this study aimed to investigate the effect of the Indonesian strain of *L. reuteri* LC382415 on initial mono- and dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilm colonization with and without glycerol supplementation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Bacterial Culture

Streptococcus mutans (ATCC® 25175™) and *S. sanguinis* (ATCC® 10556™) were cultured in brain heart infusion broth in anaerobic conditions at 37°C for 24 h. *Lactobacillus reuteri* LC382415 [21] was cultured in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe broth in anaerobic conditions at 37°C for 72 h. For *L. reuteri* with glycerol supplementation, glycerol (250 mM) was added to *L. reuteri* suspensions.

2.2. Biofilm Assay

Biofilm formation was analysed using a 96-well microtiter

plate-based biofilm assay. The biofilm mass Optical Density (OD) of *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* cultures was measured. The bacteria were diluted until they reached 1.5×10^8 CFU/ μ L, equivalent to 0.5 McFarland, and homogenized with a vortexer. The cultures were incubated in a 96-well plate in anaerobic conditions at 37°C for 48 h. The well plate was rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline with no supernatant from the cultures.

Lactobacillus reuteri cultures in different concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL) with and without glycerol supplementation were distributed in the well plate. Chlorhexidine gluconate (0.2%) was used as a positive control, and wells without treatment were used as negative controls. The biofilms were examined after incubation in anaerobic conditions for 1, 3, 6, and 24 h.

Crystal violet (0.5% w/v) was added in all wells, incubated for 15 min, and then removed. Absolute ethanol (200 μ L) was also added in all wells, and the extraction of crystal violet from the wells was measured as biofilm mass. Absorbance measurements were performed using a microplate reader at a wavelength of 490 nm. All treatments were applied in triplicate.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Parametric test was performed in data analysis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normality of the data. One-way analysis of variance and post hoc tests were performed to determine the significant value between categories ($p < 0.05$) (SPSS version 25, IBM, USA).

3. RESULTS

A reduction in mono-species *S. mutans* biofilm was observed after treatment with all *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentrations without glycerol supplementation and after all incubation periods compared to the negative control. OD measurements showed that the *S. mutans* mono-biofilm was drastically reduced with the *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentration of 1×10^4 CFU/mL after 1 h compared to the negative control and the other concentrations ($p < 0.05$). A reduction compared to the negative control was also observed with *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentrations of 1×10^4 and 1×10^6 CFU/mL after 3 h ($p < 0.05$). The *S. mutans* biofilm was reduced with *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentrations of 1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL by 56.5%, 55.3%, and 50.4%, respectively, compared to the negative control after incubation for 6 h ($p < 0.05$) and was further reduced by 82.2%, 81.9%, and 81.3%, respectively, after incubation for 24 h ($p < 0.05$). Fig. (1) shows the co-cultured *L. reuteri* LC382415 and *S. mutans* biofilm mass OD after incubation for 1, 3, 6, and 24 h without glycerol supplementation.

The presence of *L. reuteri* LC382415 without glycerol supplementation also reduced the mono-species *S. sanguinis* biofilm with all concentrations and after all incubation periods compared to the negative control.




Fig. (1). Concentration-response relationship graphs of *L. reuteri* LC382415 and *S. mutans* co-cultures without glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the biofilm mass in optical density, while the x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterix state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).

The biofilm was drastically reduced compared to the negative control with *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentrations of 1×10^4 and 1×10^8 CFU/mL after incubation for 1 h ($p < 0.05$). A significant reduction compared to the negative control was also observed with *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentrations of 1×10^4 and 1×10^6 CFU/mL after incubation for 3 h ($p < 0.05$) and a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU/mL after incubation for 6 h ($p < 0.05$). The *S. sanguinis* biofilm was reduced with *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentrations of 1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL by 94.8%, 98.1%, and 94.8%, respectively, compared to the negative control after incubation for 24 h. Fig. (2) shows

the co-cultured *L. reuteri* LC382415 and *S. sanguinis* biofilm mass OD after incubation for 1, 3, 6, and 24 h without glycerol supplementation.

A significant reduction compared to the negative control was also observed in the dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilm after treatment with all concentrations of *L. reuteri* LC382415 without glycerol supplementation and after all incubation periods ($p < 0.05$). Fig. (3) shows the co-cultured *L. reuteri* LC 382415 and dual-species biofilm mass OD after incubation for 1, 3, 6, and 24 h without glycerol supplementation.




Fig. 2 contd.....




Fig. (2). Concentration-response relationship graphs of *L. reuteri* LC382415 and *S. sanguinis* co-cultures without glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the biofilm mass in optical density, while the x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterix state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).




Fig. (3). Concentration-response relationship graphs of *L. reuteri* LC382415 and dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* co-cultures without glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the biofilm mass in optical density, while the x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterix state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).

The mono-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilms were also significantly reduced compared to the negative control after treatment with almost all concentrations of *L. reuteri* LC382415 with glycerol supplementation after all

incubation periods ($p < 0.05$). The co-cultured *L. reuteri* LC382415 and mono-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilm mass ODs after incubation for 1, 3, 6, and 24 h with glycerol supplementation are shown in Figs. (4) and (5), respectively.




Fig. (4). Concentration-response relationship graphs of *L. reuteri* LC382415 and *S. mutans* co-cultures with glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the biofilm mass in optical density, while the x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterisk state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).




Fig. (5). Concentration-response relationship graphs of *L. reuteri* LC382415 and *S. sanguinis* co-cultures with glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the biofilm mass in optical density, while the x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterisk state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).

Comparisons of the effects of *L. reuteri* LC382415 with and without glycerol supplementation are shown in Fig. (6-9). *Lactobacillus reuteri* LC382415 in a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU/mL resulted in a significantly greater mono-species *S. mutans* biofilm reduction with than without glycerol supplementation after incubation for 1-6 h (Fig. 7). An *L. reuteri* LC382415 concentration of 1×10^8 CFU/mL also caused a greater mono-species *S. sanguinis* biofilm reduction with than without glycerol supplementation after incubation for 1 h (Fig. 8). Moreover, almost all concentrations of *L. reuteri*

LC382415 resulted in a greater dual-species formation reduction with than without glycerol supplementation after incubation for 1-6 h (Fig. 9).

The dual-species biofilm was significantly reduced after treatment with all concentrations of *L. reuteri* LC382415 with glycerol supplementation after incubation for 3 and 6 h compared to the negative control ($p < 0.05$). Fig. (6) shows the co-cultured *L. reuteri* LC382415 and dual-species biofilm mass OD after incubation for 1, 3, 6, and 24 h with glycerol supplementation.




Fig. (6). Concentration-response relationship graphs of *L. reuteri* LC382415 and dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* co-cultures with glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the biofilm mass in optical density, while the x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterisk state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).




Fig. 7 contd....




Fig. (7). Concentration-response relationship graphs of with *L. reuteri* LC382415 co-cultures with and without glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the optical density of mono-species *S. mutans* biofilm mass. The x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterisk state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).




Fig. (8). Concentration-response relationship graphs of with *L. reuteri* LC382415 co-cultures with and without glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the optical density of mono-species *S. sanguinis* biofilm mass. The x-axis represents the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterisk state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).




Fig. (9). Concentration-response relationship graphs of with *L. reuteri* LC382415 co-cultures with and without glycerol supplementation after 1, 3, 6, and 24 h term of incubation. The y-axis represents the optical density of dual-species biofilm mass. The x-axis represents indicates the *L. reuteri* concentrations (1×10^4 , 1×10^6 , and 1×10^8 CFU/mL). 0.2% Chlorhexidine gluconate (positive control) and untreated wells (negative control) were used in this study. All treatments were applied as triplicate. Asterisk state the significant value ($p < 0.05$).

4. DISCUSSION

Dental caries is a multifactorial phenomenon representing a major problem of the oral cavity that affects a significant number of people worldwide. It may be caused by oral biofilm formation, which can lead to the destruction of the tooth [22].

The oral biofilm is formed by multi-species communities colonizing the oral cavity in stages (initial, early, middle, and late) [23]. The initial colonization process is dominated by oral streptococci, such as *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis*, which account for over 80% of the early biofilm [11]. *Lactobacillus* species have known to be linked with dental caries for years, as they have been considered secondary invaders [24]. However, *Lactobacillus* species have also been reported to be beneficial for the oral cavity [25]. In this study, the Indonesian strain of *L. reuteri* LC382415 was found to decrease mono- and dual-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilms. Although the exact mechanism has yet to be elucidated, it can be speculated that it is related to competition for nutrients and the ability of *L. reuteri* LC382415 to inhibit the adherence of competing species by producing antibacterial peptides.

Our results show that *L. reuteri* LC382415 in a concentration of 1×10^4 CFU/mL without glycerol supplementation effectively reduced the mono-species *S. mutans* biofilm after all incubation periods, even after 24 h. The mono-species *S. sanguinis* biofilm was also effectively reduced after incubation for 24 h. *Lactobacillus reuteri* may

have the ability to produce hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), which can be toxic to organisms lacking hydrogen peroxide-scavenging enzymes, such as *S. mutans*, thus inhibiting their biofilm growth [12]. Moreover, H_2O_2 produced by *L. reuteri* or other streptococci can induce autolysis in *S. sanguinis* [26].

All concentrations of *L. reuteri* LC382415 with glycerol supplementation significantly reduced the mono-species *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* biofilms after incubation for 1–6 h. Similar effects were observed in the dual-species biofilm co-cultures. This might be due to the ability of *L. reuteri* to produce reuterin (3-HPA) by metabolizing glycerol. Reuterin is used as a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent and has more advantages than other antimicrobial agents [27].

Different incubation periods were used in this study to determine the optimum time required for *L. reuteri* to inhibit biofilm formation. After 1 h of incubation, biofilm formation enters the adhesion stage, which is reversible, and the attached bacteria are easily removed from the pellicle [28]. After 24 h of incubation, the co-cultured *L. reuteri* LC382415 and mono- and dual-species biofilms with glycerol supplementation tended to increase, although still at a lower rate compared to the negative control. This suggests that the pathogenic bacteria gradually increased their antibacterial resistance and multiplied as the biofilm entered the maturation stage. It is harder for antimicrobial agents to penetrate thicker biofilms [29], as their glycocalyxes protect the bacteria and enhance their immunity to antibiotic agents [30].

The *L. reuteri* LC382415 strain was isolated from young Indonesian adults. The sequence results and Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) confirmed that the DNA sequence of this strain was similar to *L. reuteri* ATCC 55730 [31]. The evolution gap between two bacterial strains can be measured using their nucleotide gene identity through BLAST, with average nucleotide identity (ANI) of 95-98% [32]. *Lactobacillus reuteri* LC 382415 similarity to *L. reuteri* ATCC 55730, as suggested by an ANI of 96% [21].

The probiotic biofilm inhibition mechanism lies in enhancing the epithelial barrier by inducing mucus secretion, along with enhancing probiotic and inhibiting pathogenic microorganism adhesion, competing with pathogenic microorganisms for nutrients on the host cell surface, and producing other antimicrobial agents, such as lactic acid, acetate acid, and bacteriocin, thereby reinforcing the immune system [33]. Lactic acid and acetate acid produced by *L. reuteri* contribute to pathogenic bacterial growth inhibition due to their ability to penetrate the bacterial cell membrane, dissociate with the alkaline environment inside the cell, and lower the cytoplasm pH [34]. Bacteriocin and hydrogen peroxide can destroy pathogenic bacterial cell membranes and inhibit pathogenic DNA synthesis. Therefore, probiotics can prevent pathogenic microorganism adhesion and colonization [35]. Wasfi *et al.* (2018) also found that *Lactobacillus* spp. probiotics, including *L. reuteri* ATCC 23272, can prevent dental caries activity. Their anti-caries effect is related to the inhibition of *S. mutans* biofilm growth, especially because of the secretion of organic acid production of H₂O₂ [12].

The effectiveness of a probiotic depends on certain factors, such as its ability to survive in enzyme-rich and low-pH environments, its interaction with the host, its ability to compete with pathogenic microorganisms, and most importantly, its safety [36]. *L. reuteri* probiotics have been proven to meet all these conditions [37]. In recent years, the microbial activity of *Lactobacillus* has been found to depend on the specific strain and the pH environment [38]. *Lactobacillus* has been reported to reduce *Streptococcus* adhesion [39]. These explain how the presence of *L. reuteri* LC382415 significantly reduced the dual-species biofilm of *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis*.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates the promising activity of *L. reuteri* LC382415 with glycerol supplementation against initial-stage biofilms. Future studies on the mechanism of action of this active component are warranted.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals/humans were used for studies that are the basis of this research.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data sets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author, [C.F.T] upon request.

FUNDING

This research was supported by Faculty of Dentistry, Trisakti University, Jakarta, Indonesia.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mario Richi, S.Si and Aradhea Monica Dreastia, S.Si for their laboratory assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Maharani DA. Do the Indonesians receive the dental care treatment they need? A secondary analysis on self-perceived dental care need. *ISRN Dent* 2012; 2012769809 [http://dx.doi.org/10.5402/2012/769809] [PMID: 22888443]
- [2] Peltzer K, Pengpid S. Dental health status and oral health behavior among university students from five ASEAN countries. *Nagoya J Med Sci* 2017; 79(2): 123-33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.18999/nagjms.79.2.123] [PMID: 28626248]
- [3] Health Ministry of Indonesia. *Indonesia Health Profile 2018*.
- [4] Benjamin RM. Oral health: the silent epidemic. *Public Health Rep* 2010; 125(2): 158-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/003335491012500202] [PMID: 20297740]
- [5] Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *Lancet* 2007; 369(9555): 51-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60031-2] [PMID: 17208642]
- [6] Takahashi N, Nyvad B. Caries ecology revisited: microbial dynamics and the caries process. *Caries Res* 2008; 42(6): 409-18. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000159604] [PMID: 18832827]
- [7] Caufield P W, Griffen A L. Dental caries. An infectious and transmissible disease. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2000; 47(5): 1001-19. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-3955(05)70255-8]
- [8] Petersen PE, Bourgeois D, Ogawa H, Estupinan-Day S, Ndiaye C. The global burden of oral diseases and risks to oral health. *Bull World Health Organ* 2005; 83(9): 661-9. https://doi.org/S0042-96862005000900011 [PMID: 16211157]
- [9] Lemos JA, Quivey RG, Koo H, Abranches J. *Streptococcus mutans*: a new Gram-positive paradigm? *Microbiology (Reading)* 2013; 159(Pt 3): 436-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.066134-0] [PMID: 23393147]
- [10] Garnett JA, Matthews S. Interactions in bacterial biofilm development: a structural perspective. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 2012; 13(8): 739-55. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138920312804871166] [PMID: 23305361]
- [11] Kreth J, Merritt J, Qi F. Bacterial and host interactions of oral streptococci. *DNA Cell Biol* 2009; 28(8): 397-403. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/dna.2009.0868] [PMID: 19435424]
- [12] Wasfi R, Abd El-Rahman OA, Zafer MM, Ashour HM. Probiotic *Lactobacillus* sp. inhibit growth, biofilm formation and gene expression of caries-inducing *Streptococcus mutans* *J Cell Mol Med* 2018; 22(3): 1972-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.13496] [PMID: 29316223]
- [13] Bollen CM, Beikler T. Halitosis: the multidisciplinary approach. *Int J Oral Sci* 2012; 4(2): 55-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ijos.2012.39] [PMID: 22722640]
- [14] Mahasneh SA, Mahasneh AM. Probiotics: A Promising Role in Dental Health. *Dent J (Basel)* 2017; 5(4):E26. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/dj5040026] [PMID: 29563432]
- [15] Shi LH, Balakrishnan K, Thiagarajah K, Mohd Ismail NI, Yin OS.

- Beneficial properties of probiotics. *Trop Life Sci Res* 2016; 27(2): 73-90.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2016.27.2.6>] [PMID: 27688852]
- [16] Caglar E, Kavaloglu SC, Kuscu OO, Sandalli N, Holgerson PL, Twetman S. Effect of chewing gums containing xylitol or probiotic bacteria on salivary mutans streptococci and lactobacilli. *Clin Oral Investig* 2007; 11(4): 425-9.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00784-007-0129-9>] [PMID: 17574481]
- [17] Jones SE, Versalovic J. Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* biofilms produce antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory factors. *BMC Microbiol* 2009; 9(1): 35.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-35>] [PMID: 19210794]
- [18] Widyanman AS, Drestia AM, Bachtiar EW, Bachtiar BM. The anti-inflammatory effects of glycerol-supplemented probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* on infected epithelial cells *In vitro*. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2018; 9(2): 298-303.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/ccd.ccd_53_18] [PMID: 29875577]
- [19] Twetman S, Keller MK. Probiotics for caries prevention and control. *Adv Dent Res* 2012; 24(2): 98-102.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022034512449465>] [PMID: 22899689]
- [20] Kwon H-S, Yang E-H, Yeon S-W, Kang B-H, Kim T-Y. Rapid identification of probiotic *Lactobacillus* species by multiplex PCR using species-specific primers based on the region extending from 16S rRNA through 23S rRNA. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2004; 239(2): 267-75.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2004.08.049>] [PMID: 15476976]
- [21] Widyanman AS, Pranoto S, Theodorea CF, Bachtiar EW, Bachtiar BM. Isolation and identification of Indonesian *Lactobacillus reuteri* strain from saliva of young adults. *Sci Dent J* 2018; 2(2): 67-75.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.26912/sdj.v2i2.2840>]
- [22] Paiva MA, Leite DF, Farias IA, Costa AD, Sampaio FC. Dental anatomical features and caries: A relationship to be investigated. *Dent Anat* 2017.
- [23] Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ Jr, Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. Oral multispecies biofilm development and the key role of cell-cell distance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010; 8(7): 471-80.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2381>] [PMID: 20514044]
- [24] Caufield PW, Schön CN, Saraithong P, Li Y, Argimón S. Oral lactobacilli and dental caries: A model for niche adaptation in humans. *J Dent Res* 2015; 94(9)(Suppl.): 110S-8S.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022034515576052>] [PMID: 25758458]
- [25] Badet C, Thebaud NB. Ecology of lactobacilli in the oral cavity: a review of literature. *Open Microbiol J* 2008; 2: 38-48.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874285800802010038>] [PMID: 19088910]
- [26] Kreth J, Zhang Y, Herzberg MC. Streptococcal antagonism in oral biofilms: *Streptococcus sanguinis* and *Streptococcus gordonii* interference with *Streptococcus mutans*. *J Bacteriol* 2008; 190(13): 4632-40.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00276-08>] [PMID: 18441055]
- [27] Schaefer L, Auchtung TA, Hermans KE, Whitehead D, Borhan B, Britton RA. The antimicrobial compound reuterin (3-hydroxypropionaldehyde) induces oxidative stress *via* interaction with thiol groups. *Microbiology (Reading)* 2010; 156(Pt 6): 1589-99.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.035642-0>] [PMID: 20150236]
- [28] Huang R, Li M, Gregory RL. Bacterial interactions in dental biofilm. *Virulence* 2011; 2(5): 435-44.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/viru.2.5.16140>] [PMID: 21778817]
- [29] Stewart PS. Antimicrobial Tolerance in Biofilms. *Microbiol Spectr* 2015; 3(3): 269-85.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MB-0010-2014>] [PMID: 26185072]
- [30] Mostafa AA, Al-Askar AA, Almaary KS, Dawoud TM, Sholkamy EN, Bakri MM. Antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts against bacterial strains causing food poisoning diseases. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 2018; 25(2): 361-6.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.02.004>] [PMID: 29472791]
- [31] Clarridge JE III. Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004; 17(4): 840-62.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.4.840-862.2004>] [PMID: 15489351]
- [32] Kim M, Oh H-S, Park S-C, Chun J. Towards a taxonomic coherence between average nucleotide identity and 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity for species demarcation of prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014; 64(Pt 2): 346-51.
- [33] Vieco-Saiz N, Belguesmia Y, Raspoet R, *et al*. Benefits and Inputs From Lactic Acid Bacteria and Their Bacteriocins as Alternatives to Antibiotic Growth Promoters During Food-Animal Production. *Front Microbiol* 2019; 10: 57.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00057>] [PMID: 30804896]
- [34] Engevik MA, Versalovic J. Biochemical features of beneficial microbes: Foundations for therapeutic microbiology. *Microbiol Spectr* 2017; 5(5): 1-47.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.BAD-0012-2016>] [PMID: 28984235]
- [35] Matsubara VH, Bandara HMHN, Mayer MPA, Samaranyake LP. Probiotics as antifungals in mucosal candidiasis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 62(9): 1143-53.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw038>] [PMID: 26826375]
- [36] Markowiak P, Ślizewska K. Effects of Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics on Human Health. *Nutrients* 2017; 9(9): E1021.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nu9091021>] [PMID: 28914794]
- [37] Mu Q, Tavella VJ, Luo XM. Role of *Lactobacillus reuteri* in Human Health and Diseases. *Front Microbiol* 2018; 9: 757.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00757>] [PMID: 29725324]
- [38] Liévin-Le Moal V, Servin AL. Anti-infective activities of lactobacillus strains in the human intestinal microbiota: from probiotics to gastrointestinal anti-infectious biotherapeutic agents. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2014; 27(2): 167-99.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00080-13>] [PMID: 24696432]
- [39] Montegudo-Mera A, Rastall RA, Gibson GR, Charalampopoulos D, Chatzifragkou A. Adhesion mechanisms mediated by probiotics and prebiotics and their potential impact on human health. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2019; 103(16): 6463-72.
[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-09978-7>] [PMID: 31267231]